

Exploring Unconventional Approaches to Morality: An Existentialist Framework

Marimuthu Prahasan

Senior Lecturer in Philosophy Dept. of Philosophy and Value Studies, Faculty of Arts and Culture, Eastern University of Sri Lanka,

Received 29-06-2024

Revised 30-06-2024

Accepted 25-07-2024

Published 27-07-2024



Copyright: ©2024 The Authors. Published by Publisher. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Abstract:

This research investigates unconventional approaches to morality within an existentialist framework, focusing on pivotal thinkers such as Arthur Schopenhauer and Friedrich Nietzsche. Existentialism emerged as a response to the erosion of traditional religious and metaphysical frameworks in modernity, prompting existentialists to confront fundamental questions about the foundations of morality in a world devoid of transcendent norms. The study explores how existentialist thinkers conceptualize morality in the absence of traditional religious or metaphysical frameworks, critically examining their critiques of conventional ethical norms and proposing alternative visions of ethical living. Through a qualitative approach involving textual analysis of primary philosophical texts by Schopenhauer and Nietzsche, this research aims to elucidate existentialist perspectives on morality. Schopenhauer's philosophy emphasizes the concept of 'will' as the primary driving force behind human actions, challenging prevailing rationalistic explanations. He presents a pessimistic outlook on life, advocating for compassion and altruism as paths towards transcendence. In contrast, Nietzsche critiques foundational moral concepts, particularly Christian doctrines, asserting that moral judgments are shaped by societal power dynamics rather than objective truths. This study's significance lies in enriching ethical theory and inspiring critical reflection on the foundations of morality in a secular and uncertain world. By examining existentialist critiques of conventional morality and exploring alternative ethical frameworks grounded in existential principles, this research aims to stimulate dialogue on existentialist ethics and its relevance for addressing pressing ethical challenges in diverse cultural and social contexts. Ultimately, this investigation seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of ethics and morality within the complex tapestry of human existence.

Key Words: Existentialism, Ethical Framework, Arthur Schopenhauer, Friedrich Nietzsche, Morality

Introduction:

The Existentialist philosophical movement emerged towards the end of the nineteenth century, originating primarily in non-English-speaking countries, notably France. It represented a novel approach to grappling with the meaning of human existence, championed by philosophers based in France. By the early twentieth century,

Existentialism had experienced significant growth and continues to inspire various intellectual initiatives. The context of its emergence is rooted in the aftermath of the nineteenth-century scientific and industrial revolutions, which precipitated a secular shift in Western societies. As traditional moral frameworks and societal

norms were increasingly questioned and rejected, individuals found themselves disillusioned with previously accepted sources of life's meaning and purpose. This phenomenon can be viewed as a form of existential liberation—a profound existential crisis marked by a sense of disenchantment and the perception of life's inherent meaninglessness.

Existentialism, as a response to these existential quandaries, seeks to address the challenge of living a meaningful human life within a world characterized as devoid of inherent meaning and marked by absurdity. The movement encompasses diverse thinkers who played pivotal roles in shaping its development, including Martin Heidegger, Søren Kierkegaard, Arthur Schopenhauer, Friedrich Nietzsche, Jean-Paul Sartre, and Albert Camus. These thinkers, however, exhibited varying perspectives and interpretations within the existential framework, particularly in relation to the question of God's existence—a central divide defining their philosophical orientations. Furthermore, existentialists scrutinize the foundation of morality from distinctive angles, particularly in the absence of a divine authority. They grapple with questions such as: In a godless world, what lends legitimacy to human endeavors? What actions imbue human life with significance? Their exploration of these themes deviates from conventional moral principles, underscoring a critical examination of the fundamental premises that underpin human ethics.

The erosion of traditional religious and metaphysical frameworks in modernity has engendered a profound crisis of meaning and ethical orientation. The existentialist response to this crisis confronts fundamental questions about the legitimacy and foundations of morality in a world devoid of transcendent norms. The research problem revolves around investigating how existentialist thinkers grapple with ethical dilemmas arising from human existence within an indifferent universe, and how their insights can enrich contemporary moral discourse. To address

the research problem, the study will explore the following research questions:

1. How do existentialist thinkers conceptualize the nature of morality in the absence of traditional religious or metaphysical frameworks?
2. What are the key existentialist critiques of conventional ethical norms, and how do these critiques inform alternative visions of ethical living?
3. How do existentialist perspectives on authenticity, freedom, and responsibility contribute to the development of ethical frameworks grounded in lived experience and existential commitment?

The objectives of this research are:

1. To analyze existentialist perspectives on morality as articulated by key thinkers such as Schopenhauer, Nietzsche.
2. To critically examine existentialist critiques of conventional moral norms and explore alternative ethical frameworks proposed on existential principles.

This research adopted a qualitative approach, focusing on textual analysis of primary philosophical texts by existentialist thinkers. Key works by Arthur Schopenhauer, Friedrich Nietzsche will be examined to elucidate their respective ethical frameworks and critiques of conventional morality. The methodology will involve close reading and interpretation of philosophical texts, supplemented by critical analysis of secondary sources to contextualize and evaluate existentialist perspectives on morality. The significance of this study lies in its contribution to enriching contemporary moral discourse and ethical theory. Thus, this research explores existentialist perspectives on morality, the research seeks to offer alternative insights into the nature of ethical living in a secular and uncertain world. Also, the study aims to highlight the relevance of existentialist ethics for addressing pressing ethical challenges, such as moral relativism, cultural diversity, and personal

identity. Ultimately, this research endeavors to stimulate critical reflection on the foundations of morality and inspire a reevaluation of ethical norms in light of existentialist insights.

Exploring Unconventional Approaches to Morality:

Arthur Schopenhauer:

Arthur Schopenhauer (1788 – 1860) was a prominent German philosopher whose ideas significantly diverged from traditional Western philosophical notions regarding the inherent value and meaning of human life. His philosophical contributions laid a foundational inspiration for later existentialist thinkers, notably influencing Friedrich Nietzsche's agnosticism and Ludwig Wittgenstein's materialistic transcendentalism, as well as Sigmund Freud's psychoanalytic theory. Central to Schopenhauer's philosophy is the assertion that human actions are driven by a concept he termed 'will', contrasting sharply with the prevailing rationalistic explanations of human behavior. Schopenhauer notably critiqued the notion of rationality as insufficient for comprehensively explaining human activities. In his philosophical inquiries, Schopenhauer demonstrated a particular interest in theological matters among Western philosophers. His seminal work, 'The World as Will and Representation' contends that human beings do not perceive the world as it truly is, but rather through subjective interpretations aligned with the observer's perspective. Schopenhauer's philosophical framework posits that life is characterized by suffering, futility, and inherent evil, proposing that liberation from life's afflictions can be achieved through compassion towards others and ascetic practices. His theoretical contributions extend across various disciplines, including aesthetics, politics, ethics, psychology, and literature.

Schopenhauer's ethical perspectives are expounded in a comprehensive essay titled 'On the Basis of Morality' (1840), comprising four distinct parts. The first section of this essay provides an overview of the historical evolution of Western moral philosophy. Following this historical

survey, the second section critically examines Immanuel Kant's moral principles, offering a nuanced appraisal of Kantian ethics. Schopenhauer then proceeds to articulate his own moral theories in the third part of the essay, where he presents his original contributions to ethical thought. Finally, the fourth part of the essay delves into the transcendental underpinnings and philosophical foundations of morality according to Schopenhauer's perspective. Through this systematic analysis, Schopenhauer seeks to establish a robust framework for understanding and evaluating moral principles within the broader context of philosophical discourse.

In critiquing Immanuel Kant's ethical framework especially explained in the *Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals*, Schopenhauer vigorously endorses the notion that the moral worth of an action hinges on whether it stems from an intrinsic aspect of human nature or merely serves as a means to an end. Schopenhauer acknowledges this aspect of Kant's principle as commendable, yet he sharply criticizes Kant's concept of autonomous virtue, arguing that it harbors a latent self-interest and positing that actions driven by selfish motives cannot be deemed genuinely moral. Specifically, Schopenhauer contests Kant's assertion that moral actions should be guided by the maxim that one's actions must be such that they could be willed as a universal law without undermining the moral fabric of the world—an adaptation of the golden rule, "do unto others as you would have them do unto you." By refuting Kant's propositions, Schopenhauer develops his own moral theory grounded on these critical observations. To comprehend Schopenhauer's divergence from Kantian ethics, it is imperative to grasp Schopenhauer's interpretation of Kant. This entails a concise overview of the foundational tenets of Kantian ethics.

In his work 'The Metaphysics of Morals', Immanuel Kant rejects moral frameworks grounded solely on cognitive reasoning as inherently impure. Kant's rejection extends to utilitarian moral theories that prioritize actions based on maximizing pleasure and their resultant

consequences. Central to Kant's ethical stance is a categorical distinction between moral considerations and cognitive-psychological inclinations, contending that moral judgments cannot be reliably determined through cognitive assessments of conflicting preferences. Kant emphasizes the necessity of embracing a moral code derived from reason, rooted in the concept of autonomous virtue. According to Kant, individuals who act in accordance with such a moral framework demonstrate moral responsibility and self-awareness. Kant vehemently opposes utilitarianism, which advocates for actions that yield the greatest benefit for the largest number of individuals, on the grounds that it treats individuals as mere instruments for securing collective welfare. This stance underscores Kant's commitment to impartial virtue and the rejection of any inclinations motivated by self-interest. In Kant's ideal moral framework, no individual's moral rights are subject to violation, envisioning a moral realm akin to a 'kingdom of ends', where conflicts and violence are eradicated, and enduring peace is realized through the application of equitable justice (Jacquette, p. 206). This vision epitomizes Kant's aspiration for a morally harmonious society governed by principles that transcend individual interests, safeguarding the dignity and autonomy of every moral agent.

Arthur Schopenhauer, in critiquing Kant's moral philosophy constructed upon the premise that rational actions constitute the foundation of morality, advances the view that rational actions do not invariably equate to morally good actions. Schopenhauer contends that possessing the capacity for reason does not necessarily diminish or alter an inherently evil character; rather, it can facilitate the planning and execution of malevolent deeds more effectively than someone devoid of reason. In this context, reasoning serves as an instrument that can be manipulated towards any desired end, demonstrating the instrumental nature of reason in human behavior. Schopenhauer further argues that humans are naturally driven by material interests, leading

them to strategically utilize available conditions and opportunities to achieve personal objectives. According to Schopenhauer, genuine rational action for human beings entails strategic thinking and behavior aimed at advancing personal goals within given circumstances (Janaway, p. 90). Contrary to Kant's vision of establishing a world of ultimate peace and tranquility grounded on a moral framework of reason, Schopenhauer contends that such a vision is unattainable due to the inherent prioritization of individual preferences in the world. Schopenhauer's critique underscores the limitations of Kant's moral theory in addressing the complexities and motivations inherent in human behavior, highlighting the challenges of realizing enduring moral order in a world where self-interest often takes precedence over collective moral ideals.

Arthur Schopenhauer, emphasizing the primacy of reason in moral philosophy, critiques the notion of Kant that moral behavior need not extend to non-rational beings. Schopenhauer also demonstrates an openness towards teachings from Buddhism, Hinduism, and other theistic religions that emphasize respect and admiration for all creatures, beyond just humans. Schopenhauer rejects the perspectives of Judaism, Islam, and Christianity that prioritize anthropocentric thinking, allowing for the exploitation of non-human creatures for human needs. Schopenhauer's foundational moral philosophy, in contrast to Kant's perspective that "moral action of human beings should be considered in relation to other rational beings," questions why beings capable of experiencing pain and suffering, though non-rational, should be relegated to a secondary status in ethical considerations (The Metaphysics of Morals, p. 213). Schopenhauer posits that his moral framework surpasses other European moral principles by placing significant emphasis on the welfare of animals. He criticizes prevailing European moral theories for their inherent disregard for non-human beings, which he argues reveals the harsh reality of Western idealism. Schopenhauer's moral philosophy uniquely advocates for equal moral consideration for all

living beings, rejecting hierarchical distinctions that prioritize human interests over the welfare of other sentient creatures.

Schopenhauer further elaborates on human actions by categorizing them into three distinct types: (1) actions that fall outside the realm of moral concern, (2) actions that are morally condemnable, and (3) actions that possess moral value. The moral evaluation of an action, according to Schopenhauer, is contingent upon a combination of two perspectives: the active intention of the individual performing the action and the impartial judgment of an observer unrelated to that action. Actions of the first type lack moral significance as perceived by both the actor and impartial observers. An example would be a merchant who practices honesty and fairness with customers solely to enhance business prospects. Conversely, actions falling into the second category are those that deeply trouble the conscience of the doer and are strongly condemned by impartial observers. This includes acts like inflicting torture upon others or deriving pleasure from the suffering of others. The third category comprises actions that are highly esteemed by both the doer and impartial observers. An exemplary action of this kind is voluntarily relinquishing possessions to their rightful owners without exploiting them for personal gain, even in the face of poverty (Vandenabeele, p. 355). Through these classifications, Schopenhauer aims to delineate the spectrum of moral significance in human conduct, highlighting actions that transcend self-interest and align with ethical principles valued by society at large.

Following the classification of different types of human activities, Schopenhauer undertakes an examination of the underlying factors that drive these varied behaviors. He posits that inherent traits such as egoism, the inclination towards causing harm (Malice), and compassion are naturally distributed among individuals in varying degrees, thereby influencing motivations and corresponding actions (Young, p. 176, & Vandenabeele, p. 356). In this schema,

compassion serves as a motivating force to perform benevolent acts and alleviate the suffering of others, while malice engenders a perverse pleasure derived from witnessing or inflicting suffering upon others. Egoism, prevalent across all sentient beings, instills a sense of self-prioritization and self-protection, essential for survival and well-being. This innate egoism manifests as the natural will to life/ survive within every individual, propelling them towards the pursuit of personal objectives and ultimate goals. Schopenhauer's analysis underscores the role of these intrinsic psychological tendencies in shaping human behavior and moral decision-making, highlighting the interplay between innate characteristics and ethical motivations. It is widely recognized that in the absence of governmental laws and moral enforcement, human beings would be perpetually engaged in conflict and strife (Janaway, p. 99, & Jacquette, p. 216). Schopenhauer contends that the instinct to act for the benefit of others is inherently pure, yet exceedingly rare and seemingly unnatural, owing to the prevailing egoistic tendencies ingrained within human nature. He asserts that compassion, which forms the cornerstone of moral thought and action, stands diametrically opposed to egoism. Schopenhauer posits that genuine benevolence serves as the fundamental impetus behind morally upright conduct, distinct from actions motivated solely by self-interest. Behaviors rooted in egoism, driven by self-centered motives, fall outside the realm of moral consideration. Consequently, actions causing harm or suffering to others cannot serve as the basis for morally acceptable conduct and are subject to moral criticism and rejection. Schopenhauer's ethical framework underscores the pivotal role of compassion in fostering virtuous actions while highlighting the ethical deficiencies inherent in egoistic behaviors. Through this analysis, Schopenhauer emphasizes the moral imperative of prioritizing benevolence and altruism in human interactions and ethical decision-making.

Schopenhauer, in his analysis of moral concepts within the rational and divine framework, posits

that the foundation of morality lies in the sentiment of pity or compassion, accompanied by sympathetic concern upon witnessing the suffering or adversity of others. He contends that an unbounded compassion extended towards all living beings inherently ensures steadfast and untainted moral conduct, rendering additional requisites unnecessary. Individuals characterized by compassion are thereby disinclined to inflict harm, perpetrate wrongdoing, cause suffering, or violate the rights of others. Schopenhauer asserts that such individuals exemplify gentleness, exhibit patience towards all, extend aid to everyone, and engage in all actions justly, lovingly, and compassionately (Jacquette, p. 203). Furthermore, those possessing this profound compassion as the underpinning of all moral endeavors are inclined to integrate non-human beings into their moral framework, acknowledging their intrinsic worth—an approach superior to moral principles rooted in Judeo-Christian traditions, placing high value on the sanctity of life as expounded in Indian philosophies (Vandenabeele, p. 346).

Compassion, defined as the emotional capacity to deeply empathize with and share in the suffering of others, embodies a selfless ethos according to Schopenhauer. This sentiment of compassion arises when an individual internalizes another's suffering as their own, reflecting the inherent nature of sentient beings experiencing external afflictions. Schopenhauer posits that those who cultivate this compassionate disposition not only refrain from causing suffering but also actively strive to alleviate it, encapsulating his moral imperative as "do not cause suffering to anyone and assist everyone to the fullest extent possible." He contends that this ethical principle consists of both a negation—abstaining from harmful actions—and an affirmation—engaging in benevolent deeds to the greatest extent feasible, representing the fundamental guiding principle underlying all moral conduct (Jacquette, p. 222, & Janaway, p. 97). Furthermore, compassion is delineated by Schopenhauer as manifesting in two dimensions. The first, or negative aspect, involves refraining from actions that inflict suffering on

others. The second, or positive aspect, entails actively engaging in acts of love and altruism. Additionally, this compassion is characterized by a profound level of selflessness or self-sacrifice, where individuals undertake actions to alleviate the problems or sufferings of those in distress. In such acts, individuals perceive the struggles of others as their own, experiencing a sense of shared suffering and taking decisive measures to address these issues, akin to how one would approach their own adversities.

In seeking a metaphysical foundation to elucidate the profound disposition of compassion, Schopenhauer asserts its superiority over egoism due to its intrinsic efficacy in alleviating suffering in the world, distinct from egocentric perspectives. Egoists inherently perceive a chasm between themselves and the external world, viewing others as strangers with whom they maintain an adversarial relationship. Conversely, individuals of sound mind perceive the external world as an extension of their inner selves, viewing others as akin to themselves and fostering relationships characterized by friendliness. Consequently, such individuals actively engage in actions that promote the welfare of others and consistently endeavor to mitigate suffering. In this state of consciousness, nothing in the world appears foreign or extraneous; rather, everything is perceived as an inherent part of one's self and nature. This philosophical perspective espoused by Schopenhauer resonates with Indian philosophical thought, particularly echoing the teachings of the Santhokya Upanishad, which elucidates the concept of perceiving the external world as 'illusion' and embracing a perspective of unity where everything is regarded as one's own self ('Tattvam Asi') (Janaway, p. 101).

Friedrich Nietzsche:

Friedrich Nietzsche stands out as one of the most renowned and extensively debated existentialist philosophers of the nineteenth century. He is prominently recognized in the annals of philosophical history for his vigorous critique of foundational moral concepts, particularly Christian moral doctrines and broader European

moral frameworks. Nietzsche acknowledges Arthur Schopenhauer's 'the world as will and representation' as a pivotal influence on his philosophical outlook, crediting Schopenhauer as the first thinker to captivate his intellectual interest. Nietzsche's expansive oeuvre encompasses explorations in ethics, religion, epistemology, Ontology, and social criticism. Notably, in his works *Beyond Good and Evil* and *On the Genealogy of Morals*, and specially in *Twilight of the Idols*, Nietzsche posits that ethical judgments share a resemblance with religious assertions, characterized by a state of uncertainty where the distinction between objective fact and subjective interpretation becomes less discernible. This perspective underscores Nietzsche's critical examination of conventional moral frameworks and his challenge to established notions of truth and value.

As observed thus far, moralism is bifurcated into two principal categories: prescriptive moralism / normative ethics and transcendental moralism / meta ethics. Nietzsche's moral insights hinge upon a meta-ethical examination of moral concepts and assertions. However, his critiques and counter-arguments directed towards fundamental moral concepts are prescriptive in nature. His fundamental stance on ethics posits that any prevailing moral framework is profoundly naive, premised upon erroneous assumptions rooted in a misunderstanding of human psychology, insidiously perpetuates servitude, and propagates nebulous ruminations as antagonistic to human society. Consequently, those acquainted with Nietzsche's moral ideas may find his moral positions to be disparaging and unsettling, particularly for adherents to universal moral principles, whereas skeptics of moral propositions might identify supportive reflections (Leiter, p. 78). Subsequently, in the subsequent section, we shall delve deeper into the ethical paradigms he characterizes as herd or slave thinking.

Morality is traditionally regarded as a framework offering guidance on human conduct and the principles by which individuals ought to live. Nietzsche acknowledges the significance of ethics

in this context. However, he contends that historical moral teachings have often propagated false doctrines, distorted values and reversing what is deemed morally virtuous and morally reprehensible. This transformation of assumptions is attributed to particular social structures and influential thinkers' intent on preserving established norms. Nietzsche specifically implicates Christianity as a significant factor in this moral transformation.

In the book " *On the Genealogy of Morals*," it is argued that a critical examination of moral values reveals their inherent support for societal subservience or servility. Consequently, it is deemed erroneous to assume that moral values are impartial, universally applicable, or solely selfless. Rather, they are fundamentally self-serving and offer little solace to those enduring life's hardships. The preface of this work acknowledges that the presented arguments may not satisfy everyone; however, it asserts that true realism prioritizes the pursuit of truth regardless of its disadvantages (Welshon, p. 16). The book is structured into three parts. Firstly, it delves into the historical evolution of moral values by analyzing the distinctions between "good and bad" and "good and evil". Secondly, it explores the psychological origins of guilt and the development of the modern concept of morality rooted in a sense of guilt and bad conscience. Lastly, it investigates the societal impact of moral virtues such as chastity, humanity, and simplicity, examining how these virtues are often observed in a ritualistic manner.

In the initial section of his work, Nietzsche queries how a form of moral thinking characterized by servility and subjugation has come to dominate moral evaluations to such an extent that it represents the outlook of a specific class rather than a universal perspective. He explores the foundational origins of moral concepts by posing this question. This inquiry illustrates a society marked by distinct characteristics and divided into two primary groups: the rulers, a politically and militarily dominant class, and the subjugated slaves who are

subjected to their authority. The rulers, characterized by their power, efficiency, and unrestrained pursuit of immediate sensual gratification, engage in activities such as alcoholism, quarreling, and indulging in sexual pursuits, alongside adventurous pursuits like hunting—all of which they deem acceptable and label as "good". In contrast, they denigrate the simplicity, weakness, and timidity of their slaves as "bad". In the framework of master morality, which positioned itself as the supreme ethical standard dividing attributes into categories of good and bad, a significant development in subsequent Western history emerged through the rebellion of slaves against the moral evaluations imposed by their masters. This rebellion should not be construed as an isolated event in history but rather as a gradual evolution and adaptation over centuries within the minds of the enslaved populace. Within this evolving mindset, the enslaved individuals elevated qualities such as love, justice, humanity, impartiality, and forgiveness as superior virtues, designating them as "good", while despising the characteristics emulated by their masters as "evil". Religious figures played a pivotal role in fostering this change of perception. Essentially, to garner support from the vast population of slaves, religious leaders preached that these inherent qualities were superior and pleasing to God, casting those who opposed these virtues as objects of hatred and rejection. This psychological reassurance provided solace to slaves who lacked the physical strength to resist their dominant masters. In this context, what is deemed "good" signifies what is just or accepted, whereas "evil" denotes what is condemned and reprehensible. The notion of living a "good life" or a morally upright existence in slave society entailed abstaining from adopting the lifestyle and attributes of the masters. Nietzsche posits that the slaves celebrated qualities that symbolized their inherent weaknesses within society as morally superior virtues (Pearson, p. 11, & Berkowitz, p. 68, Owen, p. 77). In European history, such a master-slave societal structure is exemplified by ancient Romans and the Jewish people

Drawing from Nietzsche's perspective, his views on the values and attributes deemed morally appropriate can be characterized as follows: Moral values are not universally applicable but rather specific to particular social classes; they are not fixed but evolve across different historical epochs, serving to safeguard the interests of specific groups. These values are instrumental in nature, crafted to serve particular agendas rather than emanating from intrinsic truths. An illustrative example of this phenomenon lies in the origins of the concepts of "good and bad" and "good and evil."

Nietzsche's interpretation of master morality and slave morality is intertwined with this analysis. Master morality, according to Nietzsche, is embodied by healthy, prosperous, strong, and independent individuals who deem things that promote their happiness as "good," while regarding the weak, impoverished, and enslaved populations as "bad." Conversely, those who are enslaved by these affluent, free individuals view their oppressors as "evil" and themselves as "good." Nietzsche extends these ideas to modern society, particularly highlighting middle-class individuals adhering to Christian and Jewish traditions in European nations. In this context, Nietzsche argues that power, wealth, and collective will are influential factors in determining the definitions of "good" and "bad," asserting that these designations are not absolute truths. He provides various examples to illustrate this assertion. Notably, he examines etymological roots, suggesting that the German word for "bad" (*schlech*) is connected to the German term for "bare" or "simple" (*shlicht*), implying that concepts denoted as "good" often align with ideas associated with the powerful ruling class. Moreover, Nietzsche discusses the historical symbolism of color, noting that black was traditionally linked with negative connotations, stemming from the conquest and subjugation of dark-haired Europeans by the Aryans.

Subsequently, the emergence of religious priesthoods introduced a shift in the understanding of moral concepts, emphasizing distinctions

between purity and impurity. Within this framework, chastity became emblematic of abstaining from sexual activity, conflict, specific dietary choices, and the ostentatious display of noble heroic qualities. This historical evolution finds manifestation in Western societies through groups like the Judaizers and the subsequent development of Christianity, which collectively established the Judeo-Christian moral paradigm. This restructuring of moral doctrines culminated in the inversion of traditional values, portraying good as evil and bad as good.

Nietzsche, rejecting the notion of intrinsic or transcendent morality — including any purported natural or divine moral framework — asserts that prevailing moral standards merely reflect the societal constructs designed for the benefit and pleasure of the people. His critique highlights the relative nature of moral interpretations across different temporal and cultural contexts, emphasizing that moral definitions and practices are contingent upon societal needs and desires. Thus, Nietzsche's criticisms are contextual and adaptive, responsive to diverse social conditions and historical epochs.

Nietzsche's allegory of 'The Sheep and the Hawk' can be interpreted as conveying the notion that the actions of the powerful, even if they result in suffering for the powerless, are not inherently reprehensible or immoral. In this allegory, it is likened to hawks swooping down from mountains to prey on sheep. Nietzsche suggests that there is no intrinsic basis for condemning the hawks for hunting and consuming the sheep. The sheep, in their discussions among themselves, may label the hawks as evil, while the hawks justify their actions by expressing a genuine fondness for consuming sheep meat. Through this allegory, Nietzsche challenges conventional moral frameworks that vilify the exploitation of the weak by the strong. He presents ideas that shock traditional moral sensibilities, suggesting that it is deemed normal and not morally wrong for the powerful to use others for their own interests. This narrative underscores Nietzsche's critique of prevailing moral values and norms, urging a

reevaluation of ethical judgments based on power dynamics and societal hierarchies. (Solomon, & Higgins, p. 103).

Conclusion:

Thus, Arthur Schopenhauer and Friedrich Nietzsche, though distinct in their philosophical approaches, share significant critiques of traditional Western views on human behavior and morality. Schopenhauer's philosophy centers around the concept of 'will' as the fundamental driving force behind human actions, challenging rationalistic explanations prevalent in his time. He presents a pessimistic outlook on life, emphasizing suffering and the pursuit of compassion as a path towards transcendence. Schopenhauer's ethical framework prioritizes altruism and compassion as essential pillars of moral conduct, advocating for equal moral consideration for all living beings, including non-human creatures. His metaphysical foundation underscores the transformative power of empathy and altruism in fostering ethical behavior.

In contrast, Nietzsche's philosophy offers a vigorous critique of foundational moral concepts, particularly Christian doctrines and broader European moral frameworks. Influenced by Schopenhauer's ideas, Nietzsche challenges conventional notions of truth and value, asserting that moral judgments share similarities with religious assertions and are shaped by societal power dynamics. He introduces the concepts of master morality and slave morality to analyze historical shifts in moral values, arguing that moral definitions are contingent upon societal needs and desires rather than objective truths. Nietzsche's rejection of intrinsic or transcendent morality emphasizes the contextual and constructed nature of moral values, urging a reevaluation of ethical judgments based on power relationships and societal hierarchies.

Together, Schopenhauer and Nietzsche provide profound insights into the complexities of human behavior and morality. While Schopenhauer focuses on compassion as a path to liberation from suffering, Nietzsche critiques prevailing moral

frameworks as instruments of societal control, advocating for a more nuanced understanding of ethics rooted in power dynamics and historical contexts. Their philosophical divergence enriches our understanding of existential challenges and ethical imperatives, inviting us to rethink traditional moral certainties and embrace a broader perspective on human values and behavior. Ultimately, their contributions inspire a deeper exploration of ethics and morality within the complex tapestry of human existence.

References:

1. Berkowitz, P. (1995). *Nietzsche: The Ethics of an Immoralist*. UK: Harvard University Press
2. Boone, R. (2000). *Ethics*. USA: Adams Media
3. Jacquette, D. (2005). *The Philosophy of Schopenhauer*. UK: McGill-Queen's University Press
4. Janaway, O. (2002). *Schopenhauer – A Very Short Introduction*. USA: Oxford University Press
5. Leiter, B. (2002). *Nietzsche On Morality*. USA: Routledge Publications
6. Lewis, P. B. (0000). *Arthus Schopenhauer*. UK: Reaktion Books
7. Owen, D. (2007). *Nietzsche's Genealogy of Morality*. UK: Acumen Publishing Limited
8. Pearson, K, A. (2007). *Friedrich Nietzsche – On the Genealogy of Morality*. USA: Cambridge University Press.
9. Solomon, R, & Higgins, K, M. (2000). *What Nietzsche Really Said*. USA: Schocken Books Publishers
10. Vandenabeele, B. (2012). *A Companion to Schopenhauer*. USA: Wiley Blackwell Publications
11. Warnock, M. (1967). *Existentialist Ethics*. USA: Macmillan and Company Limited
12. Welshon, R. (2001). *The Philosophy of Nietzsche*. UK: McGill-Queen's University Press
13. Young, J. (2005). *Schopenhauer*. USA: Routledge Publications