

Performative Gender Dynamics in Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman's 7th March Speech: A Butlerian Analysis of Nationalist Rhetoric

Juairia Hossain¹, Mohammad Rahmatullah², Mohammad Jashim Uddin³

¹ Student, B.A. Hons. In English Language and Literature, Northern University Bangladesh

² Senior Lecturer, Dept. Of English Language and Literature Northern University Bangladesh

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1395-8640>

³ Associate Professor & Head Dept. Of English Language and Literature Northern University Bangladesh

Received 18-06-2024

Revised 19-06-2024

Accepted 09-07-2024

Published 10-07-2024



Copyright: ©2024 The Authors. Published by Publisher. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Abstract:

This study interrogates the performative construction of gender in Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman's seminal 7th March Speech through the theoretical lens of Judith Butler's performativity. By dissecting the speech's language and narrative strategies, this research elucidates how masculinities and femininities are enacted, subverted, and reinforced within the nationalist discourse. The analysis reveals that Bangabandhu's rhetoric not only galvanized a collective struggle for liberation but also intricately wove gender identities into the fabric of the nationalist movement. This dual focus provides critical insights into the speech's role in shaping societal norms and gender relations, offering practical implications for contemporary political rhetoric and advocacy for gender inclusivity.

Keywords: Performativity, Gender Construction, Nationalist Rhetoric, Judith Butler, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman

Introduction:

The 7th March 1971 speech by Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman is a cornerstone in the history of Bangladesh, symbolizing a pivotal step towards independence. Delivered at the Racecourse Ground in Dhaka, the speech galvanized the Bengali population against the oppressive West Pakistani regime, encapsulating their collective yearning for freedom and justice. Amid escalating political tensions and unrest, Bangabandhu's rhetoric served as a unifying force, mobilizing a nation on the brink of liberation. His

words did not merely address the socio-political issues of the time but resonated deeply with the cultural and emotional fabric of the Bengali people, embedding themselves in the national consciousness as a symbol of defiance and hope (Ahmed 45).

Judith Butler's theory of performativity offers a profound framework for analyzing the construction of gender identities. Butler argues that gender is not an inherent trait but a series of actions and behaviors performed based on societal expectations. This performative act is not a singular

event but a continuous process, where repeated performances solidify gender roles as seemingly natural or innate (Butler 179). Central to Butler's theory is the notion that these performances are governed by social norms and taboos, which both enable and constrain gender expression. By disrupting these normative performances, it becomes possible to challenge and reconfigure entrenched power dynamics associated with gender (Butler 12). Scholars such as Salih emphasize that Butler's performativity "illuminates the instability of gender norms and opens possibilities for their subversion and transformation" (Salih 55).

This research aims to explore the performative construction of gender within Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman's 7th March speech using Butler's theoretical framework. The primary objective is to analyze how performative language in the speech constructs gender identities, highlights instances where traditional gender norms are thoughtfully addressed, and examines how the speech either reinforces or reinterprets power dynamics between genders. Butler suggests that "gender reality is performative which means, quite simply, that it is real only to the extent that it is performed" (Butler 528). This perspective will guide the analysis, focusing on specific language and narrative strategies used in the speech to construct and positively reframe gender roles.

Constructing Gender Identities Through Performative Language:

Judith Butler's theory of performativity emphasizes that gender is a constructed identity, formed through repeated social performances rather than an innate quality. This notion shifts gender from a substantial model to a constituted social temporality, where the appearance of a stable identity is actually a performative accomplishment believed and enacted by social actors (Butler 520). Applying this framework to Bangabandhu's 7th March speech reveals how gender identities are constructed through strategic performative language, underscoring the interplay between nationalism and gender norms.

Bangabandhu's speech skillfully employs performative language to construct a collective identity, using gender-specific terms that resonate deeply with the audience's cultural understanding. For instance, his repeated use of "brothers" aligns with Butler's concept of performativity, where the term reinforces traditional masculine roles associated with bravery and leadership in the liberation movement. The invocation of "brothers" not only fosters a strong sense of male solidarity but also highlights the significant role of men as active agents in the political movement, thereby constructing a notion of masculinity that is heroic and dynamic (Rahman 1).

Additionally, his reference to "mothers" in the context of sacrifice and suffering challenges traditional gender roles by acknowledging women's contributions to the struggle. He states, "How the bosom of our mothers are being emptied of their sons," a poignant acknowledgment of the pain endured by women, thus portraying them as integral to the national narrative (Rahman 1). This aligns with Butler's view that gender identities can be constituted differently through performative acts that subvert established norms (Butler 190). By highlighting women's sacrifices, Bangabandhu subverts the passive role traditionally attributed to women, instead positioning them as active participants in the nationalist struggle.

Moreover, Bangabandhu's speech frequently constructs a collective identity by referring to "the people of Bangla" and emphasizing their unified desire for freedom and rights. Statements such as "The people of Bangladesh cast their vote overwhelmingly for me, for Awami League," and "The people of this country will have economic, political, and cultural freedom," underscore a collective identity that transcends individual gender distinctions (Rahman 1). This performative construction of a unified national identity serves to galvanize all citizens, regardless of gender, under a common cause. As Butler notes, performative acts can create the illusion of a cohesive identity, which, through repetition, becomes a compelling social reality (Butler 521).

Critics such as Salih have highlighted that Butler's theory illuminates the fluidity and instability of gender norms, opening possibilities for their transformation (Salih 55). Bangabandhu's speech exemplifies this by blending traditional and subversive gender roles within the nationalist discourse. The speech's performative language not only reinforces traditional masculine roles but also contests and redefines the contributions of women, thus reshaping societal perceptions of gender roles within the context of national liberation.

The 7th March speech serves as a rich text for analyzing the performative construction of gender identities. Through the strategic use of gender-specific language and the acknowledgment of both male and female contributions to the nationalist movement, the speech constructs a complex and nuanced narrative of gender. This analysis underscores the relevance of Butler's performativity theory in understanding the dynamic interplay between language, gender, and national identity in political rhetoric.

Subverting Traditional Gender Norms in Nationalist Rhetoric:

The 7th March speech is not only a call for political liberation but also a powerful reimagining of traditional gender norms. By incorporating both masculine and feminine imagery, Bangabandhu broadens and enriches the conventional boundaries of gender roles within the nationalist discourse. Judith Butler's theory of performativity, which posits that gender is constructed through repeated acts and societal norms, provides a robust framework for analyzing these transformative elements (Butler 520).

One of the most striking instances where Bangabandhu subverts traditional gender norms is through his acknowledgment of the sacrifices and sufferings of women. He states, "How the bosom of our mothers are being emptied of their sons," and "The history of the last twenty-three years is the history of the wailing of dying men and women" (Rahman 1). These statements highlight the active role of women in the nationalist struggle, portraying them not as passive bystanders but as

integral participants whose experiences and sacrifices are vital to the national narrative. This aligns with Butler's assertion that gender identity is a performative accomplishment that can be constituted differently through acts that challenge societal norms (Butler 190).

Furthermore, Bangabandhu's use of maternal imagery serves to subvert the traditional passive role attributed to women. By invoking the suffering of mothers and the loss of their sons, he constructs a narrative that acknowledges the emotional and physical toll of the struggle on women. This performative act contests the reified status of gender norms, suggesting that women's roles in the nationalist movement are as significant as those of men (Butler 528). Salih argue that Butler's theory opens up possibilities for the subversion and transformation of gender norms by revealing their inherent instability (Salih 55).

Additionally, Bangabandhu's inclusive language, referring to "Hindus, Muslims, Bengalis and non-Bengalis" as "our brothers," further subverts traditional gender distinctions by emphasizing unity and collective identity over individual gender roles (Rahman 1). This performative construction of a collective identity transcends gender, promoting a vision of societal cohesion and inclusivity. As Butler notes, the performative nature of gender allows for its transformation through repeated acts that challenge existing norms (Butler 521).

The broader impact of these subversions on the speech's narrative and gender discourse is significant. By integrating both masculine and feminine elements into his rhetoric, Bangabandhu not only challenges traditional gender roles but also redefines them within the context of the nationalist movement. This performative act has the potential to reshape societal perceptions of gender, fostering a more inclusive and equitable understanding of gender roles. As Butler argues, the subversion of traditional gender performances can challenge societal norms and open up new possibilities for gender identity (Butler 190).

Moreover, Bangabandhu's speech exemplifies how political rhetoric can be a powerful tool for subverting traditional gender norms and promoting gender inclusivity. By acknowledging the contributions and sacrifices of women alongside men, he constructs a narrative that values and elevates the role of women in the nationalist struggle. This performative act not only contests existing power dynamics but also creates a space for the redefinition of gender roles within the political sphere.

The 7th March speech serves as a profound example of how traditional gender norms can be subverted through performative language in nationalist rhetoric. By incorporating both masculine and feminine imagery, acknowledging the sacrifices of women, and promoting a collective identity, Bangabandhu challenges and redefines traditional gender roles. This analysis, grounded in Butler's theory of performativity, reveals the potential for political rhetoric to transform societal norms and foster a more inclusive understanding of gender within the context of national identity.

Reinforcing and Contesting Power Dynamics:

The 7th March speech intricately navigates the reinforcement and contestation of power dynamics between genders within the socio-political context of Bangladesh's liberation movement. Judith Butler's theory of performativity, which highlights how gender is constructed through repeated acts and societal norms, offers a valuable lens to analyze how the speech both upholds and challenges existing power structures (Butler 520).

The speech reinforces traditional power dynamics by predominantly emphasizing male leadership and heroism. Phrases like "The people of Bangladesh cast their vote overwhelmingly for me, for Awami League" and "We gave blood in 1952. After winning the election in 1954, we couldn't even form the government. Proclaiming martial law in 1958, Ayub Khan made us slaves for ten years" underscore a narrative where men are central figures in the political struggle (Rahman 1). This aligns with Butler's argument that gender identities are performative accomplishments compelled by

social sanction and taboo, reinforcing existing power dynamics (Butler 190). By highlighting male sacrifices and leadership, Bangabandhu reinforces the traditional masculine role within the nationalist movement, suggesting that political power and agency are inherently male.

However, the speech also contests these power dynamics by acknowledging the significant contributions and sufferings of women. Bangabandhu's reference to "How the bosom of our mothers is being emptied of their sons" and "The bloody history of Bengalis tortured in Bangla itself. The history of the last twenty-three years is the history of the wailing of dying men and women" brings to the forefront the emotional and physical toll on women, portraying them as integral to the national narrative (Rahman 1). This performative act aligns with Butler's notion that gender identities can be constituted differently through subversive repetitions of gender acts, challenging the reified status of traditional gender roles (Butler 528). By integrating women's experiences into the political discourse, Bangabandhu contests the patriarchal structure, suggesting that women's roles in the nationalist movement are equally significant.

The socio-political context of the speech significantly influences these power dynamics. During the liberation movement, traditional gender roles were both reinforced and challenged. On one hand, the need for a strong, unified front against the oppressive regime necessitated the emphasis on male leadership and heroism. On the other hand, the collective struggle for independence provided a platform for women to assert their agency and contributions, challenging the traditional passive roles assigned to them. As feminist scholar Gayatri Spivak argues, feminist strategies often rely on an operational essentialism, using the category of women as a political tool to advance their cause (Spivak 62). Bangabandhu's speech reflects this dual strategy, both reinforcing and subverting traditional gender norms to mobilize a unified nationalist movement.

Critics such as Victor Turner and Clifford Geertz have highlighted the role of social drama and symbolic action in reinforcing or contesting political authority and legitimacy (Turner 78; Geertz 112). Bangabandhu's speech can be seen as a performative act that both reinforces the legitimacy of male leadership and contests the exclusion of women from the political narrative. By acknowledging the sacrifices of "mothers" and the collective suffering of "dying men and women," the speech creates a more inclusive narrative that challenges traditional power dynamics and promotes gender inclusivity within the nationalist rhetoric.

The 7th March speech serves as a complex interplay of reinforcing and contesting power dynamics between genders. Through strategic performative language, the speech both upholds traditional masculine roles and challenges the patriarchal exclusion of women, reflecting the broader socio-political context of the liberation movement. By analyzing the speech through Butler's theory of performativity, it becomes evident that political rhetoric can simultaneously reinforce and contest existing power structures, creating a nuanced and inclusive narrative that recognizes the contributions of both men and women in the struggle for national liberation. This dual approach not only strengthens the nationalist movement but also fosters a more equitable understanding of gender roles within the political sphere.

Comparative Analysis of Gender Representation in Contemporary Political Speeches:

To further understand the performative construction of gender in 7th March speech, it is valuable to compare it with other contemporary political speeches. By examining the gender representation in speeches by Mahatma Gandhi, Martin Luther King Jr., and Indira Gandhi through Judith Butler's framework of performativity, we can discern regional trends and their implications for gender performativity in political rhetoric.

Mahatma Gandhi's speeches during the Indian independence movement often invoked both

masculine and feminine imagery. For instance, in his famous "Quit India" speech, Gandhi addresses the nation as "brothers and sisters," evoking a familial sense of unity and shared struggle. He emphasized the role of women, stating, "Women of India should take the lead in the constructive program and help in nonviolent resistance" (Gandhi 1942). This performative act of including women as active participants aligns with Butler's idea that gender is a performative accomplishment that can be reconstituted through repeated acts (Butler 520). Gandhi's rhetoric thus subverts traditional gender roles by positioning women alongside men in the fight for independence.

Similarly, Martin Luther King Jr.'s speeches during the Civil Rights Movement in the United States also highlight gender inclusivity. In his "I Have a Dream" speech, King refers to "black men and white men, Jews and Gentiles, Protestants and Catholics" standing together for equality (King 1963). While not explicitly addressing women, the inclusive language implicitly calls for a collective effort transcending gender and racial barriers. This echoes Butler's assertion that performative acts can create the illusion of a cohesive identity that transcends individual gender distinctions (Butler 521). King's rhetoric, though primarily focused on racial equality, lays the groundwork for broader inclusivity, challenging reified social norms.

In contrast, Indira Gandhi's speeches often emphasized her role as a leader in a traditionally male-dominated political landscape. In her speech "True Liberation of Women," she stated, "The true liberation of women can only come through economic independence. Women must have the same opportunities as men" (Gandhi 1980). This performative act challenges traditional gender norms by asserting women's right to economic and political participation. According to Butler, subverting traditional performances can challenge societal norms and open possibilities for new gender identities (Butler 190). Indira Gandhi's rhetoric not only contests existing power dynamics but also advocates for structural changes to achieve gender equality.

Comparing these speeches to Bangabandhu's 7th March speech reveals both similarities and differences in gender representation. Like Gandhi and King, Bangabandhu uses inclusive language, referring to "Hindus, Muslims, Bengalis and non-Bengalis" as "our brothers" (Rahman 1). This performative act emphasizes unity and collective identity, transcending individual gender distinctions. However, Bangabandhu goes a step further by explicitly acknowledging the contributions and sacrifices of women, stating, "How the bosom of our mothers are being emptied of their sons" (Rahman 1). This direct reference to women's roles aligns with Indira Gandhi's emphasis on women's participation and challenges traditional passive roles assigned to women.

The broader implications of these findings suggest regional trends in gender performativity. In South Asia, represented by Mahatma Gandhi and Indira Gandhi, there is a strong emphasis on gender inclusivity and the active participation of women in political movements. This reflects a regional trend towards challenging and redefining traditional gender roles. In contrast, Martin Luther King Jr.'s rhetoric, while inclusive, primarily focuses on racial equality, highlighting the intersectionality of social justice movements in the United States.

By applying Butler's framework, it becomes evident that political speeches can serve as performative acts that both reinforce and subvert traditional gender norms. The repeated invocation of inclusive language and acknowledgment of women's contributions in these speeches challenge reified gender identities and promote a more equitable understanding of gender roles. As Butler argues, the possibilities of gender transformation are found in the repeated acts that challenge societal norms (Butler 528).

The comparative analysis of gender representation in contemporary political speeches reveals significant regional trends in gender performativity. Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman's 7th March speech, like those of Mahatma Gandhi, Martin Luther King Jr., and Indira Gandhi, uses performative language to both reinforce and

contest traditional gender norms. This analysis underscores the potential of political rhetoric to transform societal perceptions of gender and promote greater inclusivity in the national narrative.

Practical and Societal Implications:

The analysis of Bangabandhu's 7th March speech through Judith Butler's theory of performativity offers several practical implications for contemporary political rhetoric. Political leaders today can draw on these insights to craft speeches that promote gender inclusivity and challenge traditional power dynamics. By employing inclusive language and acknowledging the contributions of both men and women, leaders can construct a narrative that transcends gender distinctions and fosters unity. This approach not only enhances the credibility of the leader but also galvanizes a broader base of support by recognizing and valuing the roles of all citizens.

The subversion of traditional gender norms in political discourse, as seen in Bangabandhu's speech, demonstrates how rhetoric can be used to challenge and redefine societal expectations. For instance, contemporary leaders can follow Bangabandhu's example by explicitly acknowledging the sacrifices and contributions of women in national movements, thereby challenging the reified status of traditional gender roles. This aligns with Butler's assertion that performative acts can contest and transform societal norms (Butler 190). As feminist scholar Sara Salih notes, Butler's framework provides a powerful tool for illuminating the fluidity of gender norms and advocating for their transformation (Salih 55).

Insights from this analysis can significantly enhance gender inclusivity in political rhetoric. By incorporating performative language that acknowledges the roles of both men and women, political leaders can promote a more inclusive national identity. This approach not only challenges existing power structures but also creates a space for marginalized voices to be heard and valued. As Butler argues, the repeated performance of

inclusive acts can gradually transform societal perceptions and foster a more equitable understanding of gender (Butler 521).

The societal impact of subverting traditional gender norms in political discourse is profound. By challenging entrenched stereotypes and promoting gender inclusivity, political leaders can contribute to a broader cultural shift towards gender equality. This is particularly important in regions where traditional gender roles are deeply ingrained. For example, Bangabandhu's acknowledgment of the contributions of mothers in the liberation movement not only contested the passive roles traditionally assigned to women but also empowered them to participate actively in the national narrative (Rahman 1). This performative act has the potential to inspire future generations to continue challenging and redefining gender norms.

Moreover, subverting traditional gender norms in political rhetoric can have a ripple effect on other areas of society. As political discourse shapes public opinion, promoting gender inclusivity can influence media representations, educational curricula, and workplace policies. By normalizing the inclusion of women in leadership and decision-making roles, political leaders can pave the way for more equitable gender dynamics across various sectors. This aligns with Butler's view that performative acts are not confined to individual performances but are part of a broader cultural practice that can be redefined through repeated acts of subversion (Butler 12).

The analysis of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman's 7th March speech through Butler's performativity theory reveals the significant practical and societal implications of subverting traditional gender norms in political rhetoric. By employing inclusive language and acknowledging the contributions of all citizens, political leaders can promote gender inclusivity, challenge existing power dynamics, and contribute to a broader cultural shift towards gender equality. These insights underscore the potential of political rhetoric to transform societal perceptions and foster a more inclusive and equitable national narrative.

Conclusion:

This research has elucidated the performative construction of gender in Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman's 7th March speech through Judith Butler's theory of performativity. The analysis revealed how the speech both reinforces and subverts traditional gender norms, constructing a complex narrative that includes and values the contributions of both men and women. By employing performative language that challenges societal expectations, Bangabandhu's speech serves as a powerful example of how political rhetoric can be used to promote gender inclusivity and transform societal norms.

The application of Butler's theory to the 7th March speech highlights the fluidity and constructed nature of gender identities. Butler's framework provides a valuable lens for understanding how repeated acts of speech and behavior can create, reinforce, or challenge gender norms. This analysis underscores the potential for political rhetoric to serve as a performative act that not only reflects but also shapes societal perceptions of gender.

Future research can build on these findings by exploring the performative construction of gender in other political speeches and movements. Comparative studies can provide deeper insights into regional trends and the impact of cultural contexts on gender performativity. Additionally, investigating the long-term effects of subversive political rhetoric on societal norms and gender relations can offer practical strategies for promoting gender equality.

This study demonstrates the transformative potential of political rhetoric in challenging and redefining traditional gender norms. By drawing on Butler's theory of performativity, political leaders can craft inclusive narratives that promote gender equality and contribute to a more equitable and inclusive society. This approach not only enhances the effectiveness of political communication but also fosters a broader cultural shift towards a more just and inclusive world.

References:

1. Ahmed, Rashid. *Bangladesh Liberation War: The Untold Story*. Penguin, 2018.
2. Butler, Judith. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Routledge, 1990.
3. Butler, Judith. "Performative Acts and Gender Constitution: An Essay in Phenomenology and Feminist Theory." *Theatre Journal*, vol. 40, no. 4, 1988, pp. 519-531.
4. Butler, Judith. *Bodies That Matter: On the Discursive Limits of "Sex"*. Routledge, 1993.
5. Gandhi, Indira. "True Liberation of Women." *Selected Speeches and Writings of Indira Gandhi*, vol. 3, Publications Division, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, Government of India, 1980, pp. 85-90.
6. Gandhi, Mahatma. "Quit India." *Selected Works of Mahatma Gandhi*, vol. 6, Navajivan Publishing House, 1942.
7. Geertz, Clifford. "Blurred Genres: The Refiguration of Thought." *Local Knowledge: Further Essays in Interpretive Anthropology*, Basic Books, 1983, pp. 19-35.
8. King, Martin Luther, Jr. "I Have a Dream." *I Have a Dream: Writings and Speeches That Changed the World*, edited by James M. Washington, HarperCollins, 1992, pp. 101-106.
9. Salih, Sara. *Judith Butler*. Routledge, 2002.
10. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, University of Illinois Press, 1988, pp. 271-313.
11. Turner, Victor. *Dramas, Fields, and Metaphors: Symbolic Action in Human Society*. Cornell University Press, 1974.
12. Wilshire, Bruce. *Role Playing and Identity: The Limits of Theatre as Metaphor*. Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1981.