

The Impact of Capacity Building on Democratic Functioning in Sri Lankan Local Governance

Thiwankee Abeywardena Wickramasinghe

Senior Lecturer in Mass Communication Department of Social Studies, Open University of Sri Lanka

Received 13-08-2024

Revised 14-08-2024

Accepted 04-09-2024

Published 06-09-2024



Copyright: ©2024 The Authors. Published by Publisher. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Abstract:

This study assesses the influence of capacity-building programs on democratic functioning in local government officials in Sri Lanka. In the qualitative design, inquiries were held with thirty (30) officials regarding improvements in skills and decision-making processes and public participation. The major findings show significant enhancements in project management and financial management skills and community engagement. There is also a key shift towards evidence-based and strategic planning in decision-making, enhanced public participation, and accountability. This shows the muscles of the targeted capacity-building programs in contributing to transparent effective governance. The study further emphasizes the need for continued investments in capacity-building initiatives if democratic practices developed and sustained so far are to be consolidated and improved.

Keywords: capacity building, democratic governance, local government, public participation, Sri Lanka

Introduction:

1.1 Background of the Study

The issue of capacity building comes foremost in enhancing the effectiveness and integrity of democratic governance (Behrend, 2021). The concept refers to a myriad of activities targeted at developing skills, knowledge, and competencies of individuals within organizations, with more emphasis on local government. The initiatives aim at empowering people to perform their duties effectively, with the general objective of ensuring democratic principles are upheld and that governance is conducted in a transparent and accountable way (Eade, 1997).

1.2 The Role of Capacity Building in Democratic Functioning

Local government officials thus play a central role in determining the quality of governance in democratic systems (Bahamonde & Trasberg,

2021). Effective capacity-building initiatives can result in improved decision-making processes, increased public participation, and enhanced accountability (Sarawati, 2019). Those are, in their turn, some of the expected outcomes for building a relationship of trust between citizens and their government a prime condition for democratic stability. In contrast, better-trained officials are in a position to make relevant policy implementations, which mirror the requirements and aspirations of the mass of the people. This strengthens democratic norms and practice as well (Grindle, 2007).

1.3 Context of Sri Lanka

The impact of capacity building on democratic functioning is placed in a rather peculiar context by Sri Lanka. It has undergone substantial political and administrative changes, more so in the post-

conflict period (Van Horen, 2002). In Sri Lanka, it is local governments that have been championing these transformations and assuming dualistic responsibility for development and democratic governance at the grassroots level. Such efforts, however, have often been hindered by challenges such as limited resources, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and varying levels of political interference, to name a few, as mentioned in Hennayake (2006).

Local government officials are, therefore, the linchpin in the implementation of any policy and delivery of services in Sri Lanka. Therefore, it is incumbent to build their capacity through focused training and development programs (Jayasinghe & Wickramasinghe, 2011). These programs will in fact fill up the void or lacunae in knowledge and skill that currently prevents them from better handling complexities associated with local governance. By so doing, they will have contributed to a more vibrant and responsive democratic framework (Jayatilaka, 2003).

1.4 Research Questions and Objectives

This study aims to investigate the impact of capacity building on the democratic functioning of local government officials in Sri Lanka. The following research questions will guide the inquiry:

1. How do training programs impact the capabilities of local government officials in Sri Lanka?
2. What is the relationship between capacity-building initiatives and the enhancement of democratic practices at the local government level?
3. In what ways do capacity-building efforts influence public participation and accountability in local governance?

The objectives of this research are:

- To evaluate the effectiveness of existing training programs for local government officials.
- To assess the extent to which capacity-building initiatives contribute to democratic governance.
- To identify areas for improvement in

capacity-building strategies to enhance democratic functioning.

2 Literature Review:

2.1 Definition and Theories of Capacity Building

In general, capacity building means an improvement in the ability of an organization or institution to act better in a changing environment. It regards each of those series of interventions whose goal is to strengthen individual and institutional capacities for performing functions in a better, more effective, efficient, and sustainable way (Eade, 1997; Lusthaus et al., 1999). While there exist capacity building theories, there is a focus on different dimensions that hold human resource development, organizational development, and enhancement of institutional and legal frameworks as necessary for governance.

Human resource development refers to the level of individuals and entails knowledge, skills, and competencies (Jones et al., 2020). Organizational development pertains to internal processes and structure within organizations as a means toward their enhanced capacity for performing better (Başar et al., 2020). Institutional and legal framework enhancement is that part of the approach which includes policies, regulations, and governance structures institutionalizing the surroundings in which organizations can function and work competitively (Hargreaves et al., 2020).

The United Nations Development Programme's Capacity Building Framework is an all-inclusive approach comprising enabling environment, organizational level, and individual level interventions (gdc.org, 2017). Such a multi-dimensional approach to building capacity is necessary because of the intricate interplay between individuals, organizations, and the general institutional context.

2.2 Earlier Research into Capacity Building and Democratic Functioning

Enormous efforts have been made to study the relationship between capacity building and democratic functioning. Capacity building

prompts democratic governance by enhancing the capacities of government officials and institutions to interact better with citizens and provide them with public services (Behrend, 2021). For example, Grindle (2006) argues that capacity building may result in more responsive and accountable governance since officials are empowered with the ability and learning to meet the needs and address the concerns of the populace.

This is in regard to the fact that capacity-building projects have often turned out to make a difference in democratic practices within different contexts. For instance, training of local government officials has been reported by Muhumuza (2016), in Uganda to improve service delivery and enhance citizens' participation in governance processes at the local level. From Indonesia, Antlöv et al. (2016) described how there was capacity building in village officials to manage resources better and engage with the community for effective and transparent governance.

Apart from increasing the skills and knowledge of the government officials, capacity building allows one to foster a culture of continuous improvement and learning institutions (Sarawati, 2019). A much more innovative or adaptive governance may emerge by which democratic institutions are going to resist the dynamic challenges that demand continuously responding to them.

2.3 Specific Context of Local Government Officials in Sri Lanka

The impact of capacity building on democratic functioning is suitably placed within the unique context offered by Sri Lanka. Those factors which have influenced its political history include colonialism, civil conflict, and recent democratization and decentralization efforts (Van Horen, 2002). With this in mind, local officials at the grassroots level perform the pivotal role of policy implementation and service delivery, making their capacity a critical determinant of democratic governance quality at lower levels.

Local government in Sri Lanka is structured into Provincial Councils, Municipal Councils, Urban

Councils, and Pradeshiya Sabhas (Jayamaha, 2022). These bodies represent a multitude of functions locally, affecting tasks from infrastructure development to the fields of public health, education, and social services local (Withanachchi, 2011). Normally, serious challenges are faced by local governments in the form of inadequate financial and human resources, red tape in administration, and political interference (Krishnamohan, 2016).

Some of the aspects that have characterized such capacity building programs include local governance in Sri Lanka. The Institute of Local Governance has been involved in some training programs for officers at various tiers of local authorities, with the objective of increasing their administrative and management capacity (Weerawansa, 2015). It ranges from financial management to the planning and implementation of development projects and community relations.

Even after all these attempts, huge gaps exist in terms of capacity of local government officials to perform their roles satisfactorily. According to various studies, most of them are very poorly equipped with less than minimal knowledge and skills for their role performance regarding the management of complex governance tasks and effective outreach with citizens (Gayani & Dilanthi, 2016). More comprehensive and goal-oriented capacity-building programs therefore become necessary to be implemented if special needs and challenges pertaining to the role of local government officials in Sri Lanka are to be duly addressed.

2.4 Gaps in the Literature

Though there is considerable literature available on the issue, large gaps remain. Most of the recent studies related to this issue are merely of a functional nature, only attempting to cover general themes in capacity building and actually overlooking the pragmatic challenges, country, and regional specificities and they are not mainly related to Sri Lanka. More empirical works, for example, have to take into consideration the impact of capacity-building initiatives on democratic

functioning within specific contexts.

Second, there is limited literature on the long-term sustainability of capacity-building efforts. While many studies indicate immediate benefits after training and development programs, very few longitudinal studies can be found with respect to the long-term outcomes and impacts of these same initiatives. The sustainability of capacity building efforts is therefore central to the design of programs meant to induce lasting effects on democratic governance.

Third, very little is known of the roles played by informal and non-traditional capacity-building methods, such as peer learning rates, mentoring, and community-based approaches. While various studies have been made on formal training programs and workshops, such informal approaches can be of equal importance in building capacity for democratic practices.

In other words, there is a need to further investigate the mappings of capacity building with other variables affecting democratic governance, namely, political culture, social capital, and institutional frameworks. The domains could then contribute to knowledge of how these factors interact, eventually bringing out comprehensive understanding of the conditions under which capacity building can effectively enhance democratic functioning.

3 Methodology:

3.1 Research Design: Qualitative Study:

In this respect, this qualitative research design will be applied as part of the study to ascertain the effect of capacity building on democratic function among local government officials in Sri Lanka. The qualitative approach would be relevant for the present research since it deals with eliciting an in-depth understanding of experiences, perceptions, and contextual factors that influence capacity building and democratic functioning among concerned participants (Bryman, 2016). By focusing on subjective experiences of local government officials, the study is likely to tease out subtleties and complexities probably lost by

quantitative methods.

3.2 Selection of Participants: Local Government Officials in Sri Lanka

The units under study were participants drawn from various local government bodies in Sri Lanka. These comprised Provincial Councils, Municipal Councils, Urban Councils, and Pradeshiya Sabhas. In that view, the research applied a purposive sampling technique to ensure that only people with the necessary experience and knowledge on capacity building and democratic functioning participated (Berndt, 2020). It was decided that 30 government local officials would serve as a sufficient sample size for the study, and this would also provide an appropriate representation of the views while keeping the management in respect to data collection and analysis at ease.

The selection of participants for this study was based on the following criteria: presently working in any local government authority in Sri Lanka with a minimum three years of service in any governance, administration, or policy-implementing role; the person has attended at least one capacity-building program within the last five years. This helped to ensure that these individuals have had sufficient exposure to initiatives for capacity building and would be in a sufficiently good position to give insightful responses about their impact.

3.3 Data Collection Method: Structured Interviews.

Structured interviews therefore formed the primary means for data collection in this research study. Structured interviews are particularly useful when it comes to the gathering of in-depth and reliable (Brinkmann, 2013) information from the respondents, which is important for an all-rounded analysis of the effect of capacity building on democratic functioning. The interviews were done face-to-face to ensure a conducive environment that allows open and honest communication with the respondents.

These were predetermined questions to elicit

detailed responses on the diverse experiences of participants about capacity-building programs, together with their perceptions of their impact on democratic governance. The questions resulted from an extensive literature review and expert consultation.

3.4 Data Analysis Techniques:

This research tool was appropriate since the data analysis utilized thematic analysis, a method quite appropriate for identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns within qualitative data (Braun & Clarke, 2012). Thematic analysis follows these steps: familiarization, coding, theme development, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and writing up.

First, there was familiarization, which involved verbatim transcription and several readings of the interview transcripts so that a close familiarity with the data could be established. Then followed the process of coding, whereby important phrases were identified and highlighted systematically with labels representing key concepts; these labels were then further consolidated in broader themes, depicting essential aspects of the data (Braun & Clarke, 2012).

Checking themes for proper representation of data and being distinct from one another was followed by giving clear definitions and names to each. This was followed by the themes being integrated into a coherent narrative that in some way addresses the research questions and objectives.

Data analysis was supported by using NVivo, the qualitative data analysis software supporting data organization and coding processes of interview transcripts.

3.5 Ethical Considerations:

The ethical considerations in conducting this research were of uppermost priority. In ensuring ethical compliance, the following measures were taken:

Participants were fully informed about the study, its purpose, procedures involved, their rights within the research process, including the right to

withdraw at any time. Written informed consent was sought for all participants (Bryman, 2016). Maximum care was taken for ensuring that the confidentiality of the study's participants remained undamaged. All data were anonymized with unique codes that were given to each one of them. The identifying data had been taken off from the transcripts and reports in themselves. All data was stored securely; access was limited to the research team only. Digital data were password-protected, while documents physically were kept in a locked cabinet. It was ensured that the actual interviews would take place in a respectful and non-intrusive manner, with participants feeling comfortable and valued during the process. Any forms of distress or discomfort participants experienced were attended to promptly.

4 Findings:

The next section presents the key themes emerging from the analysis of structured interviews with Sri Lankan local government officials. The analysis has provided the following four themes: Improving Skills; Improved Decision-Making; Enhanced Public Engagement and Participation; and Case Studies of Successful Capacity Building Results. The following sub-sections will discuss these themes in more detail while referring to supporting quotes from the interviews and relevant tables.

Skill Enhancement: The results of the analysis showed that the skills of the local government officials were significantly enhanced by the capacity-building programs. They reported improvement in a range of areas: project management, financial management, communication, and community engagement. The key competencies are very essential in good governance and functioning democratically.

One participant described the training as being quite resourceful: "The training program on project management has been quite resourceful. Currently, I am much better prepared in planning, execution and monitoring of projects" (Participant 4). The other participant explained, "The training in financial management has really made an immense difference when it comes to budgeting and

financial planning affecting the allocation of resources and managing public funds"(Participant 11).

The table below portrays skills with specific improvement through training sourced from the participants.

Table 1 - Skill areas of capacity building

Skill Area	Number of Participants	Percentage (%)
Project Management	18	60
Financial Management	15	50
Communication Skills	12	40
Community Engagement	10	33
Policy Implementation	8	27
Conflict Resolution	5	17

Hand in hand with this, the enhancement of these skills has made the officials more efficient and adept at doing their jobs, hence leading to better governance.

Decision-Making:

The training programs also had positive effects on decision-making processes within local governments. In this regard, participants reported that they are now using more systematic and evidence-based approaches to decision-making, which increased the information base and transparency of governance.

"Before the training, most of the decisions used to be by intuition or limited information. Now we back up the decisions with data and evidence, which has improved the quality of our governance," said Participant 7. This shift toward evidence-based decision-making is important in enhancing accountability and responsiveness in local governments.

Many respondents indicated that training had

influenced them with regard to strategic planning and setting of priorities. "The training in strategic planning enabled us to set clear objectives and line up our actions to the needs and goals of the community" Respondent 19. It has therefore resulted in more coherent and targeted interventions that have ensured proper utilization of the resources in addressing identified issues.

The table below shows the improvements in decision-making processes that were reported.

Table 2 - Decision making improvements of capacity building

Decision-Making Improvement	Number of Participants	Percentage (%)
Evidence-Based Decision-Making	20	67
Strategic Planning	15	50
Prioritization	12	40
Transparency	10	33
Stakeholder Consultation	8	27

These improvements in decision-making have enhanced the overall effectiveness and legitimacy of local governments.

Public Participation:

The findings point to capacity-building activities increasing the involvement of people at large in local governance and also improving accountability. Quite a number of participants reported that training on community engagement and participatory governance has helped them acquire adequate skills and knowledge to involve citizens effectively during decision-making.

"We have learned how to engage with the people, involve them, and allow space for raising their opinions and input toward our plans and policies. This increases public trust and participation" (Participant 14). Improved public participation stands as very crucial for democratic governance since this allows ensuring that voices from among

citizens get to be heard and taken into consideration in decision-making.

One of the participants testified, "The training put emphasis on issues of accountability and transparency to us. We now engage the public with what we are doing, our decisions, and sort out problems regularly, which has enhanced trust and accountability" (Participant 22).

The following table summarizes the reported improvements in public participation and accountability.

Table 3 – Public participation improvements of capacity building

Public Participation Improvement	Number of Participants	Percentage (%)
Increased Public Engagement	18	60
Improved Accountability	15	50
Enhanced Transparency	12	40
Regular Public Consultations	10	33
Feedback Mechanisms	8	27

These improvements in public participation and accountability have strengthened the democratic functioning of local governments.

Case Studies: Detailed Examples of Successful Capacity Building:

The impact of capacity building on democratic functioning is illustrated by the following two detailed case studies:

Case Study 1: Improvement in Community Health Services:

One of these local governments undertook a capacity-building intervention for improving community health services. Training was held in the main key areas such as project and financial management as well as community engagement.

The training enabled elected local government officials to design and implement a comprehensive health program covering the main community-identified health issues.

"In the course of training, we were able to learn how to plan and manage health projects effectively. We engaged with the community in identifying needs and priorities they had " (Participant 9). Some of the important activities taken by this health program include vaccination drives, health education campaigns, and the setting up of community health centers.

Success emerges in better health outcomes, improved community participation, and constructive management of resources. "The community is now more involved in health initiatives, and we have seen a significant improvement in health indicators such as vaccination rates and maternal health." (Participant 9).

Case Study 2: Improving Local Infrastructure Development

In another metropolitan district, capacity-building operations concentrated on the ability to develop local infrastructure. The training activities included strategic planning, project management, and stakeholder consultation. The officials used the acquired skills to develop and implement a strategic infrastructure development plan.

"We have made a strategic plan with key infrastructure projects, focusing on the community's P3- identified needs and priorities against the available resources. Training helped us manage such projects better and involve stakeholders to ensure their support" (Participant. 17).

These were infrastructure works entailing construction of roads, water-supply systems, and public parks. In that respect, the successful execution of these projects has brought improvement into the quality of citizens' lives and added to the credibility and legitimacy of the local government.

"The community has benefited tangibly from these

projects with increased trust displayed in the local government. It should be admitted that the training played a huge part in this effect" (Participant 17).

Summary of Findings:

These findings suggest that capacity-building programs significantly enhanced the skills, decision-making process, public participation, and effectiveness of local government officials in Sri Lanka. More specifically, enhanced skills in project management, financial management, and community engagement have enabled officials to perform their duties effectively. Enhancements in the decision-making process, especially movement toward making it more evidence-based and

strategic have led to better and more transparent governance. Greater participation by the public and accountability have enhanced democratic functioning in local governments. Detailed illustrations through case studies would spell out, in practical terms, how capacity building has resulted in tangible benefits through community health and infrastructure development outcome-oriented training programs.

These findings underline the need for both holistic and focused capacity-building programs for local government officials. Bridging existing gaps in knowledge and skill sets, such programs have the potential to improve democratic functioning at the local level of governance considerably.



Figure 1 - Summary of impact of capacity building in democratic functions of Sri Lanka

5 Discussion:

5.1 Interpretation of Findings:

These findings indicate that indeed the capacity-building programs contributed to some considerable extent in improving technical skills, improving structures of decision-making processes, and public participation capabilities at the local government authorities in Sri Lanka. Improvements in project management, financial management, and community skills have enabled those officers to undertake their responsibilities better. Improved structures of decision-making, characterized by evidence-based and strategic planning, have brought forth better-informed and clearer means of governance. Furthermore, capacity-building interventions have contributed to the enhancement of democratic functioning at the local government level through increased public participation and accountability.

5.2 Comparison with Previous Research Studies:

The findings of this research are in tandem with other studies on the effectiveness of capacity building in democratic governance. Grindle, (2007) postulated that capacity building is an important factor in increasing responsiveness and accountability among government officials; something this study confirms through better decision-making and enhanced public participation at all levels among Sri Lankan officials. In similar respects, the study conducted by Antlöv et al. (2016) in Indonesia summarized that capacity-building of village officials improved the effective and transparent function of this model of governance-based reform, replicating improvements noted in Sri Lanka.

A study conducted in Uganda by Muhumuza (2016) showed that the training of local government officials resulted in improved service delivery and enhanced citizen participation, a finding of similar nature to this study. Besides, Cuthill & Fien (2005) pointed out that the essence of building capacity had the element of enhancing the culture of continuous improvement and

learning within organizations, which indeed was visibly clear through the case studies represented in this research.

5.3 Implications on Policy and Practice:

These findings have implications for policy and practice on the need for continuous, comprehensive capacity-building programs. The interventions improved skills and decision-making processes among local government officials. Policymakers have to commit adequate resources to these programs and ensure that they address specific needs and challenges facing the same local government officials.

The study secondly documents increased public participation and accountability, which calls for the engendering of a participatory governance culture. In turn, local governments have to build mechanisms for regular consultation and feedback with members of the public, improving transparency and raising trust in governance processes. This would involve setting up community advisory boards, conducting public forums, and engaging citizens through digital platforms.

Case studies of successful capacity-building initiatives further exemplify the real benefits of such targeted training programs. The policymakers and practitioners must design proper capacity-building intervention programs to respond to context-specific conditions and tailored needs of the local government. This will call for detailed needs assessment analysis, consultation with stakeholders, and integration of feedback from past learners in respect of relevance and effectiveness.

5.4 Limitations of the Study:

Though immense in contribution, this study has its own set of limitations. First of all, the sample size is 30, which may be viewed as adequate for qualitative analysis but sort of small for general inferences. Future studies will include bigger samples and further consolidate the results with more comprehensive insights.

Furthermore, this was self-report data and, hence, susceptible to response biases. The participants

might have socially desirable answers or exaggerated capacity-building initiatives where they benefit the most. Triangulating it with other sources of data, like performance appraisals or community feedback, would make the understanding of capacity-building initiatives more tenable.

Last but not least, the fact that the research is based on local government officials in Sri Lanka may seriously constrain influences from other contexts. In so doing, comparative studies involving local governments of different countries or geographical areas can make a significant contribution to understanding general and specific features of capacity building and democratic governance.

6 Conclusion:

6.1 Summary of Key Findings:

This research has charted how these capacity-building programs are significantly improving the skills, decision-making processes, and public participation capabilities of local government officials in Sri Lanka. Improved project management, financial management, and community engagement skills have enabled the officials to undertake their roles effectively. In brief, more evidence-based and strategic planning in decision-making, a marked change, has resulted in better-informed and transparent governance. The greater public participation and increased accountability have led to more salient democratic functioning of the local governments.

6.2 Future Research Recommendations

Future research with a larger sample is needed, as well as comparative studies to yield greater generalizability of the findings forthcoming from this research. In addition, other data sources, such as performance reviews and community feedback, may make the comprehensiveness of the results of studies on capacity-building interventions more complete. Other studies could also consider the long-term sustainability of capacity-building endeavors and their consequence on democratic governance.

6.3 Conclusion: Capacity Building and Democratic Functioning of Sri Lanka

Capacity building is a convenient platform for improving democratic functions at the local government level. It enhances skills, improves the decision-making process of the functionaries in the local government institutions, and widens public participation, making them more meaningful in improving accountability, hence forming an instrumental tool for quality governance that is effective, transparent, responsive, responsible, and works. Fourth, policymakers and practitioners need to focus on and invest resources in comprehensive programs for capacity building to ensure that there is a constantly improving democratic governance in Sri Lanka.

References:

- 1 Antlöv, H., Wetterberg, A., & Dharmawan, L. (2016). Village Governance, Community Life, and the 2014 Village Law in Indonesia. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 52(2), 161–183. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00074918.2015.1129047>
- 2 Bahamonde, H., & Trasberg, M. (2021). Inclusive institutions, unequal outcomes: Democracy, state capacity, and income inequality. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 70, 102048. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0176268021000471>
- 3 Başar, S., Yılmaz, A. K., Karaca, M., Lapçın, H. T., & Başar, S. İ. (2020). Fleet modelling in strategic multi-criteria decision-making of approved training organization from capacity building and resource dependency theory perspective: Risk taxonomy methodology.
- 4 *Aircraft Engineering and Aerospace Technology*, 92(6), 917–923. <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/AEAT-03-2020-0051/full/pdf%3Ftitle%3Dfleet-modelling-in-strategic-multi-criteria-decision-making-of-approved-training-organization-from-capacity-building-and-resource-dependency->

- theory- perspective-risk-taxonomy- methodology
- 5 Behrend, J. (2021). Challenges to Democracy in Developing Countries. In W. Leal Filho, A. Marisa Azul, L. Brandli, A. Lange Salvia, P. G. Özuyar, & T. Wall (Eds.), *Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions* (pp. 61–70). Springer International Publishing.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95960-3_147
- 6 Berndt, A. E. (2020). Sampling methods. *Journal of Human Lactation*, 36(2), 224–226.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2012). *Thematic analysis*. American Psychological Association.
<https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2011-23864-004>
- 7 Brinkmann, S. (2013). *Qualitative interviewing*. Oxford University Press, USA.
<https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=1Nz3mftswQC&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=brinkmann+interviewing&ots=dxipkLzCX5&sig=ZnS81jMIXu0MrfKB074N3RUtyws>
- 8 Bryman, A. (2016). *Social research methods*. Oxford university press.
[https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=N2zQCgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=\(Bryman,+2016\)&ots=dqJsKQI4pj&sig=fqiFTer0jIMAN9sf7c7EUzCNqJw](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=N2zQCgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=(Bryman,+2016)&ots=dqJsKQI4pj&sig=fqiFTer0jIMAN9sf7c7EUzCNqJw)
- 9 Cuthill, M., & Fien, J. (2005). Capacity building: Facilitating citizen participation in local governance. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 64(4).
<https://www.academia.edu/download/30356793/unpan030164.pdf>
- 10 Eade, D. (1997). *Capacity-building: An approach to people-centred development*. Oxfam.
[https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=tnSTMrh2ga0C&oi=fnd&pg=PP6&dq=\(Eade,+1997\)&ots=UHuexWKXEa&sig=g-XQrGI8kAJce9_6i6KFXggDQ4A](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=tnSTMrh2ga0C&oi=fnd&pg=PP6&dq=(Eade,+1997)&ots=UHuexWKXEa&sig=g-XQrGI8kAJce9_6i6KFXggDQ4A)
- 11 Gayani, K., & Dilanthi, A. (2016). *Capacity building for post disaster construction and demolition waste management: A case of Sri Lanka | Emerald Insight*. Disaster Prevention and Management.
<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/DPM-09-2014-0172/full/html>
- 12 gdr.org. (2017). *Capacity Building—The UNDP Approach*. THE GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH CENTER.
<https://www.gdr.org/uem/undp-cb.html>
- 13 Grindle, M. S. (2006). Modernising town hall: Capacity building with a political twist. *Public Administration and Development*, 26(1), 55–69.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.394>
- 14 Grindle, M. S. (2007). Good Enough Governance Revisited. *Development Policy Review*, 25(5), 533–574.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7679.2007.00385.x>
- 15 Hargreaves, M. B., Coffee-Borden, B., & Verbitsky-Savitz, N. (2020). Advancing the Measurement of Collective Community Capacity and the Evaluation of Community Capacity-Building Models. *New Directions for Evaluation*, 2020(165), 123–138.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/ev.20394>
- 16 Hennayake, N. (2006). *Culture, politics, and development in postcolonial Sri Lanka*. Lexington Books.
https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=kbvQNwINWXUC&oi=fnd&pg=PR7&dq=Hennayake+2006&ots=ruguJZsp4q&sig=kNv_F1DLHi-KpMxjmZwBeaDmUQo
- 17 Jayamaha, J. (2022). The demise of Democracy in Sri Lanka: A study of the political and economic crisis in Sri Lanka (Based on the incident of the Rambukkana shooting). *SprinjJournal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences*, 236–240.
- 18 Jayasinghe, K., & Wickramasinghe, D. (2011). Power over empowerment: Encountering development accounting in a Sri Lankan fishing village. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 22(4), 396–414.

- <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1045235410002042>
- 19** Jayatilaka, W. (2003). Capacity building for sustainable development. *Journal of the National Science Foundation of Sri Lanka*, 31(1–2).
<https://account.jnsfsl.sljol.info/index.php/sljo-j-jnsfsl/article/view/3025/2417>
- 20** Jones, G. J., Edwards, M. B., Bocarro, J. N., Svensson, P. G., & Misener, K. (2020). A community capacity building approach to sport-based youth development. *Sport Management Review*, 23(4), 563–575.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S144135231930124X>
- 21** Krishnamohan, T. (2016). Challenges towards local self-governance: Situation in Sri Lanka. *Scientific Journal Impact Factor*, 3(3), 1–16.
https://www.academia.edu/download/46560834/2016_March_-
- 22** _Challenges_towards_Local_Self_Governance_Situation_in_Sri_Lanka_-_IJRCIESS.pdf
Lusthaus, C., Adrien, M.-H., & Perstinger, M. (1999). Capacity development: Definitions, issues and implications for planning, monitoring and evaluation. *Universal Occasional Paper*, 35(35), 1–21.
<https://www.academia.edu/download/32583575/6.pdf>
- 23** Muhumuza, W. (2016). The politics of anti-corruption reforms and reversals in Uganda's changing political terrain. *Economic and Political Studies*, 4(1), 62–84.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/20954816.2016.1152095>
- 24** Sarawati, R. (2019). Village Capacity Building Through Strong, Developed, Independent and Democratic Village Governance. *Proceedings of the The First International Conference*
- 25** *On Islamic Development Studies 2019, ICIDS 2019, 10 September 2019, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia*. The First International Conference On Islamic Development Studies 2019, ICIDS 2019, 10 September 2019, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.10-9-2019.2289429>
- 26** Van Horen, B. (2002). Planning for institutional capacity building in war-torn areas: The case of Jaffna, Sri Lanka. *Habitat International*, 26(1), 113–128.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0197397501000388>
- 27** Weerawansa, S. (2015). *Success of current local government system in Sri Lanka*. <http://192.248.104.6/handle/345/1405>
- 28** Withanachchi, S. S. (2011). Participatory development approach in local governance-its relevance for economic development: A case study of Sri Lanka. *Federal Governance*, 8(3), 50–68.
<https://www.ssoar.info/ssoar/handle/document/34275>