

Social Science and Humanities Journal ISSN: 2456-2653

Impact of Socio Demographic, Social Capital and Human Capital to Job Opportunity and Community Satisfaction Wellbeing Case Study of North Bali Province Indonesia

Tjokorda Gde Indraputra, Djinar Setiawina, Sujana Budhiasa, Mahaendra Yasa Doctor Candidate of Economics, Udayana University, Bali, Indonesia <u>tjokorda@spaalliance.net</u> Program Doctoral Economics, Udayana University, Bali, Indonesia <u>djinarsw@gmail.com</u> Program Doctoral Economics, Udayana University, Denpasar, Bali, Indonesia <u>dukutsbudhi@unud.ac.id</u> Program Doctoral Economics, Udayana University, Denpasar, Bali, Indonesia <u>mahaendrayasa@unud.ac.id</u>

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

| Corresponding author | The social capital and human capital have been growing important to |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Tjokorda Gde Indraputra | community development in rural sector. Moreover, socio demographic is |
| Doctor Candidate of | relatively abundance in emerging countries that occupied in rural sector. To be |
| Economics, Udayana | expand, social capital can be significant factor as determinant for making |
| University, Bali, Indonesia | income generating resources in rural sector integrated by human capital to |
| | improve satisfaction wellbeing of the community. |
| | We develop model integration of social capital and human capital as instruments to |
| | have information available of job opportunity and its potentially impact to satisfaction |
| | wellbeing of community in rural sector as focused in the case of rural sector in |
| | Indonesia. The results have found that social capital took a significant role in |
| | rural sector. The social capital and human capital have significant link strong |
| | impact to have a look more job opportunity. Social capital and human capital |
| | almost figured as mediator latent variable in connecting social demographic as |
| | main sources to create job opportunity and positively impact to satisfaction |
| | wellbeing of the rural community in Bali, Indonesia. |
| | |

Key Words: social capital, and human capital strategy for satisfaction Wellbeing

Introduction.

Demographic variables as the determinant factors in developing economic growth is one of relevant

focus study intense for Indonesia, with population today is more than 240 billion and with decentralized to

local government distributed in 34 provinces. This paper is focus on demographic as economic variable that

affect social capital and human capital as the double mediator that effect the employment outcome based on



Gezinski (2011), find-out that social capital and human capital have successfully mediated demographic variables to influences job search and employment outcome.

Theoretical development recommended that the differentials in worker productivities have long been recognized as an important source of wageinequality (Becker, 1964). Carrillo-Tudela (2012) developed the correlation between wage inequality and human capitalas the determinant factor searching for jobs. Empirical evidence shown the policy needed to encourage the accumulation of human capital, and community participatory interventions needed to increase social capital (Gezinski, 2011). To be expanded, the last target of job available is to form individual satisfaction well-being, therefore the relation between job involvement and satisfaction well-being can be the aspect of long-term consideration of the employee as human capital asset.

The strategic issues of employee as an asset of human capital cab be connected with the environment work, job condition and wages different that will be impact satisfaction well-being of the employee. Many studies have shown that the work environment can have a major effect on employee well-being. Some factors that coincide with reduced well-being of employee such as the condition of work overload, time pressure, and lonely that all caused job stress, otherwise job resources generally have a favorable effect on well-being. Resources such as job learning opportunities, and performance feedback have been observed to have a positive effect on work engagement (Bakker, 2011and job satisfaction (Sousa-Poza & Sousa-Poza, 2000).

The employee opportunities is not only the problems of the lack of human capital quality, but also it relation with effective of communication among individual. In this case, as Putnam (1995) and Coleman (1988) states that the concept of social capital can be as an extension of human capital strengthen. Social capital as an assets like human capital is considered to have potential solution for strengthening human capital capability to build more productivity within the labor market. Schneider (1996) defines that social capital is a social relationships and patterns of trust which enable people to gain access to resources such as government services or jobs and social capital refers to the social relationships which people try to cultivate



within various social settings as well as the potential resources of these relationships that people may use to pursue social and economic goals (Li, 2004).

Brisson (2009) have found that the higher levels of social capital have boosted employment opportunities and incomes, reduced poverty and work-family conflict, increased savings and assets, and improved access to credit. Social capital is also associated with positive outcomes regarding satisfaction well-being reform efforts, as the acquisition of social capital that successfully assist in low-income persons 'efforts to secure employment and improved valuable services child care and health care (Lévesque, 2005). See figure 1.

| | Primary | Secondary | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|
| Resources | Construct | Construct | Indicator | Symbol |
| | | | | |
| Putnam (1995); | | | | |
| Schneider (2002) | 2. Social Capital | Network | Fittingness | X2.12 |
| Brisson (2009) | (X2) - Reflective | | Cheapness | X2.13 |
| | | | Peacefullness | X2.15 |
| | | Trust | Communication | X2.21 |
| | | | Responsiveness | X2.22 |
| | | | Corporation | X2.23 |
| | | Norms | Rule | X2.31 |
| | | | Punishment | X2.32 |
| | | | Institutions | X2.33 |
| Lewinski(2011) | 1. Socio emographic | 1 | Age | X1.1 |
| Bakker(2011) | X1 Reflective | | C | |
| | | | Family size | X1.2 |
| | | | Housing quality | X1.3 |
| | 3. Human Capital | | Formal education | X3.1 |
| Carrillo-Tudela (2012) | (X3) - Formative | | Training practice | X3.2 |
| Lévesque, 2005 | | | Body health | X3.3 |
| | 4. Job Opportunities | | Extravagance | Y1.1 |
| Becker, 1964 | (Y1) - Reflective | | Togetherness | Y1.2 |
| Carrillo-Tudela (2012) | | | Neurotic | Y1.3 |
| Sousa-Poza, 2000). | | | Inner | Y1.4 |
| , | | | Openness | Y1.5 |
| Campbell, et.al., | | | | |
| (1976), | 5. Life of Satisfaction | | Material well being | Z1.1 |
| Andrews & | | | | |
| Robinson 1991 | | | | |
| Kim et al (2013 | | | | |
| * | (Z1) - Reflective | | Community well being | Z1.2 |
| | | | Emotional well being | Z1.3 |
| | | | Save and secure | Z1.4 |

Figure 1. Construct and Dimensions



Job opportunities success would be greater impact to satisfaction well-being. The measurement of satisfaction wellbeing is developed as an extension of tradition in mainstream welfare economic suggests that higher levels of income areas associated with higher levels of wellbeing. The main premise of that mainstream is that welfare is solely dependent on income.

Some development concepts of satisfaction wellbeing as developed by Cambell et al (1976), Andrew & Robinson (1991) and Kim et al (2012) provide empirical evidence that supports the positive relationship between income and well-being and happiness. The development of satisfaction wellbeing measurement have four dimension that that is (a) material wellbeing, (b) community well-being, (c) emotional wellbeing and (d) save and secure. This paper in developed SEM PLS research perception modeling that captures relational causality between constructs and it dimension, as Shawn in Figure 1.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

Our study has been conduct primary data sources using questionnaire as research instrument. First, we evaluated the validity of instrument used using small sample with 30 respondents collected from household selected located in in North Bali Indonesia. Based on cronbach procedure for reliability and factor analysis of Keizer-Meyer-Ohlin (KMO) for testing validity of the instruments. Supporting by statistical software, we found that cronbach Alpha is 0.89 and KMO score is 0.97. According to both of statistical results, we can concludes that instruments we used is ready for doing research with sampling methodology drawn from population.

Study Location

The study was focus on demographic latent variable and the dynamic of social capital and human capital as determinant factors in influencing job opportunities and therefore will be impact to satisfaction wellbeing. Our study location is being choose because of outside from the center of economic growth that located in south of Bali. Our research is conducted for clearly reason that the development strategy for north

Bali area will be expected to have contributed the way solution for redistribution income reason and reducing income gap between south and north of Bali regions, Indonesia.

Population and Sample Size Estimation

The household population of North Bali area is more then 100.000, however, this research is focus on rural sector with sample estimates for 100 sample. We targeting by 100 sample under consideration of the basic study of predicted orientation using SEM PLS, as recommended by Chin (1988); Tenenhouse (2004). However, we applied proportional random sampling to ensure that the sample size sources that can be representative from the population of rural sector in north Bali region.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSSION

SEM PLS is applied as meteorological tools to find some empirical testing procedures using SmartPLS version 3.1.5.2 (Ringle et al., 2012). An advantages of SEM PLS is the ability of statistical methods that would be developed this research model to specify reflective and formative indicators. As recommended by Jarvis et al (2003); Petter et al (2007), when indicators are incorrectly specified, there is an increase in both Type I and Type II errors. In this model, human capital construct is design as formative construct because of some theoretical consideration that indicator potentially caused the construct (Diamantopoluos and Winkhofer, 2001); Jarvis et al, 2003); Hair et al (2012).

First, under reflective constructs, all of the indicators were manifests with unidimensional covary (Jarvis et al, 2003). Second, under formative construct, all the indicators arrows were reverse that directly moved from indicators to the construct. Third, the evaluation of reliability and discriminant validity only focus for reflective constructs that were conducted using cronbach Alpha, composite reliability, rho_A and evaluation supporting by average variance extracted (AVE). The validity testing procedure of reflective constructs have been done usingFornell Larcker (1981), cross-loading evaluation and heterotrait-monotrait ratio (HMR) as recommended by Henseler et al (2015). The last steps of validity testing of the research instrument is to evaluate the formative construct VIF multicollinearity test to ensure the information quality of the formative construct. According to Lowry and Gaskin (2014), a VIF value of 10 is accepted.

Hair et al. (2016) suggested that the VIF above 5.00 can be signed of collinearity exist. Our data have founds that all indicators in the inner and outer model exhibited VIF below 4.00, therefore confirming absence of collinearity problems. We believe that validation evaluation of the research instruments bould be conducted before entering the next inner-model testing procedure.

Measurement Model Estimation

The goal of empirical measures of the model is to determine how well the theory fits the data, so that empirical measures delivered information about the relationships between the indicators and the constructs must under the consideration before the next inner model is applied. SmartPLS is used and apply a set of non-parametric evaluation criteria such as bootstrapping methods to evaluate the measurement results. The Statistical report of reliability test is presented in Table 1.

There were four basic evaluation types conducted to form a reflective measurement model connecting with reliability test as presented in Table 1.1. The cronbach Alpha is found greater than 0.60, as followed by the same result of rho_A, composite reliability, and the last evaluation of AVE indicates greater then 0.50, so that all statistical result supporting for reliability of constructs (Chin, 2010); Hair et al (2014).

| | Name of construct | Cronbach' s Alpha | rho_A | Compo site Reliabil ity | Average Variance Extracted (AVE) |
|------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------------------|---|
| X1 | Socio Demographic | 0.833 | 0.832 | 0.900 | 0.751 |
| X2 | Social Capital | | | | |
| X2.1 | Network | 0.626 | 0.652 | 0.802 | 0.579 |
| X2.2 | Trust | 0.727 | 0.730 | 0.846 | 0.647 |
| X2.3 | Norms | 0.846 | 0.848 | 0.907 | 0.764 |
| Y1 | Job opportunities | 0.883 | 0.889 | 0.915 | 0.682 |
| Z1 | Satisfaction well being | 0.874 | 0.876 | 0.914 | 0.726 |

Table 1. Statistical report of reliability test.

The next steps is to investigate the validity test using Fornell-Larcker discriminant validity test, and cross-loading validity test and heterotrait-monotrait ratio as developed by Henseler et al (2015).

| | X1 | X2 | X2.1 | X2.2 | X2.3 | Y1 | Z1 |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| X1 | 0.867 | | | | | | |
| X2 | 0.582 | 0.652 | | | | | |
| X2.1 | 0.486 | 0.614 | 0.761 | | | | |
| X2.2 | 0.498 | 0.858 | 0.342 | 0.805 | | | |
| X2.3 | 0.399 | 0.871 | 0.352 | 0.677 | 0.874 | | |
| Y1 | 0.434 | 0.741 | 0.451 | 0.599 | 0.722 | 0.826 | |
| Z1 | 0.251 | 0.430 | 0.207 | 0.326 | 0.495 | 0.526 | 0.852 |

Table2. Statistical report of Fornell-Larcker Test

Based on Table 1.3, all constructs indicated discriminant validity existed because the square root of the AVE value on the diagonal for each latent variable was larger than the correlations among the latent variables. In this case, the Fornell-Larcker criterion of discriminant validity is support. An others validity test procedure is the cross-loading testing procedure that is presented in Table 1.4. Based on Table 1.4, each indicator loading on the associated latent variable was greater than all indicator loadings latent variables; so that we can conclude that the research instruments designed is indicated valid discriminant.

415



| | X1 | X2.1 | X2.2 | X2.3 | Y1 | Z1 |
|-------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| X1.1 | <mark>0.904</mark> | 0.429 | 0.456 | 0.289 | 0.369 | 0.208 |
| X1.3 | <mark>0.891</mark> | 0.438 | 0.411 | 0.357 | 0.393 | 0.235 |
| X1.6 | <mark>0.802</mark> | 0.395 | 0.425 | 0.387 | 0.366 | 0.208 |
| X2.11 | 0.301 | <mark>0.608</mark> | 0.420 | 0.445 | 0.453 | 0.200 |
| X2.12 | 0.362 | <mark>0.819</mark> | 0.270 | 0.252 | 0.356 | 0.231 |
| X2.13 | 0.441 | <mark>0.838</mark> | 0.275 | 0.293 | 0.368 | 0.072 |
| X2.14 | 0.409 | <mark>0.707</mark> | 0.314 | 0.360 | 0.356 | 0.226 |
| X2.15 | 0.298 | <mark>0.605</mark> | 0.234 | 0.263 | 0.302 | 0.175 |
| X2.21 | 0.561 | 0.224 | <mark>0.738</mark> | 0.614 | 0.513 | 0.225 |
| X2.22 | 0.488 | 0.305 | <mark>0.818</mark> | 0.542 | 0.461 | 0.299 |
| X2.23 | 0.465 | 0.218 | <mark>0.705</mark> | 0.625 | 0.527 | 0.263 |
| X2.24 | 0.363 | 0.224 | <mark>0.774</mark> | 0.540 | 0.441 | 0.248 |
| X2.25 | 0.352 | 0.293 | <mark>0.821</mark> | 0.554 | 0.539 | 0.241 |
| X2.31 | 0.229 | 0.269 | 0.427 | <mark>0.504</mark> | 0.408 | 0.344 |
| X2.32 | 0.367 | 0.305 | 0.623 | <mark>0.882</mark> | 0.644 | 0.406 |
| X2.33 | 0.362 | 0.397 | 0.599 | <mark>0.875</mark> | 0.643 | 0.502 |
| X2.34 | 0.314 | 0.211 | 0.550 | <mark>0.865</mark> | 0.605 | 0.386 |
| Y1.1 | 0.454 | 0.397 | 0.557 | 0.660 | <mark>0.853</mark> | 0.440 |
| Y1.2 | 0.333 | 0.290 | 0.493 | 0.596 | <mark>0.796</mark> | 0.311 |
| Y1.3 | 0.410 | 0.400 | 0.508 | 0.619 | <mark>0.858</mark> | 0.464 |
| Y1.4 | 0.238 | 0.381 | 0.558 | 0.600 | <mark>0.854</mark> | 0.474 |
| Y1.5 | 0.353 | 0.384 | 0.339 | 0.499 | <mark>0.763</mark> | 0.470 |
| Z1.1 | 0.183 | 0.183 | 0.313 | 0.398 | 0.439 | <mark>0.856</mark> |
| Z1.2 | 0.231 | 0.170 | 0.356 | 0.444 | 0.487 | <mark>0.830</mark> |
| Z1.3 | 0.118 | 0.145 | 0.159 | 0.432 | 0.415 | <mark>0.833</mark> |
| Z1.4 | 0.315 | 0.206 | 0.267 | 0.410 | 0.442 | <mark>0.887</mark> |

Table3. Statistical report of Cross-loading

The third test evaluation of validity is heterotrait-monotrait ratio that presented in Table 1.5. Under SmartPls calculation, the computed HTMTvalues were distributed more than a half less than 0.85, so that we confirm discriminant validity.

| | X1 | X2 | X2.1 | X2.2 | X2.3 | Y1 |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| X2 | 0.682 | | | | | |
| X2.1 | 0.672 | 0.895 | | | | |
| X2.2 | 0.640 | 1.041 | 0.507 | | | |
| X2.3 | 0.472 | 0.969 | 0.484 | 0.862 | | |
| Y1 | 0.505 | 0.823 | 0.607 | 0.741 | 0.833 | |
| Z1 | 0.291 | 0.476 | 0.285 | 0.404 | 0.573 | 0.593 |

Table 4. Statistical report of Heterotrait-monotrait Ratio



Empirical result of using reflective model based on the testing procedure that have done for the three methods support for discriminant validity. The next measurement test is to evaluate formative human capital construct using VIF multicollinearity test. The statistical result is presented in Table 5.6. As a matter of fact, all value of VIF distributed below then 3, so that we have said that the formative construct is free from the multicollinearity problems.

Table 5. Inner-VIF and Outer-VIF

| | X3 | Y1 | Discription |
|------|-------|-------|------------------|
| X3. | - | 1.474 | Inner-VIF |
| X3.1 | 2.381 | - | Outer-VIF |
| X3.2 | 1.737 | - | Outer-VIF |
| X3.3 | 1.871 | - | Outer-VIF |

Hypothesis Testing Results

The structural model were first evaluated and inspecting the coefficients of determination (R^2) to ensure the quality criteria of the model. Table 1.5 shows the obtained R^2 values. The R^2 values ranged from 0.276 to 0.682. Since all the constructs have value greater than R^2 adjusted, indicates that the research model still have opportunity to insert some others latent variable for future research development. The R^2 value of Job opportunity construct is 0.682 indicates as the domain role of the model construction of this research.

Table 6. The Quality criteria of R² and R² adjusted

| | R Square | R Square Adjusted |
|--------------------|----------|----------------------|
| X2 Social Capital | 0.339 | 0.330 |
| X3 Human Capital | 0.322 | 0.304 |
| Y1Job Opportunity | 0.682 | 0.669 |
| Z1 Satisfaction WB | 0.276 | 0.267 |

Another important information is the Cohen's effect size f^2 . Table 7 provides the computed values for Cohen's effect size f^2 . From Table 7can be notes that both of social capital and human capital have value greater than 0.30, so that strong possible of mediation will be exist.

| | R Square | f_excludes | f_includes | f2 |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------|
| X2 Social capital | 0.339 | 0.34 | 0.682 | 0.52 |
| X3 Human Capital | 0.322 | 0.36 | 0.682 | 0.53 |
| Average | 0.331 | 0.352 | 0.682 | 0.53 |

Table 7. Cohen f² Calculation



The five constructs of this research have contains seven direct effect relation with four mediation detection. First, is the indirect effect of socio demographic to job opportunities through social capital. Second, is the indirect effect relation of socio demographic to job opportunity through human capital. The third, is the indirect effect of socio demographic to human capital through social capital. The last is the indirect effect relation of human capital to job opportunity through human capital. The seven direct relations with four mediations that hypothesize in this research are presented in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Path relation between Constructs

Empirical test found five hypothesis successfully answered, two others fail to get a significant level. To be expanded, all the mediation test empirically successfully answered. Figure 8 presented the statistical report of seven construct indicates two constructs fail to answer hypothesis, 5 others were successfully answer with 5% significant level. The two constructs were fail to statistical significant report is socio demographic relation to human capital and the socio demographic relation to job opportunities. Table 8 present statistical report of all the direct effects of model constructions.

418



| | Original Sample (O) | Sample Mean (M) | Standard Deviation (STDEV) | T Statistics O/STDEV | P Values | Discription |
|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| X1 -> X2 | 0.582 | 0.582 | 0.088 | 6.589 | 0.000 | Support |
| X1 -> X3 | 0.102 | 0.095 | 0.137 | 0.744 | 0.229 | Not Support |
| X1 -> Y1 | -0.040 | -0.046 | 0.070 | 0.573 | 0.284 | Not Support |
| X2 -> X3 | 0.502 | 0.517 | 0.115 | 4.351 | 0.000 | Support |
| X2 -> Y1 | 0.516 | 0.523 | 0.113 | 4.586 | 0.000 | Support |
| X3 -> Y1 | 0.443 | 0.441 | 0.110 | 4.015 | 0.000 | Support |
| Y1 -> Z1 | 0.526 | 0.537 | 0.113 | 4.672 | 0.000 | Support |

Table 8. The Statistical Report and the Level of Significant

Research Question 1.

The research question number 1 is that socio demographic (X1) have positive influence to social capital (X1) successfully answered. The statistical t test value of 2.045 is greater than 5% t.05 = 1.96, hence we can conclude that socio demographic have positive impact to increase social capital.

The R^2 value of 0.339 indicates medium level. The value of f^2 of social capital is 0.35is can be categorized as strong effect (Cohen, 1988) and will be possible as moderator of socio demographic to have impact on job opportunity. We can conclude that the change dimension of socio demographic will be increased the positive impact of social capital dimension.See Table 8.

Research Question 4.

The research question number 4 : that social capital have positive impact to human capital is successfully answered. The statistical t test value of 4.353 is greater than 5% t.05 = 1.96, hence we can conclude that social capital dimension has positive impact to increase human capital. The R^2 value of human capital construct is 0.332 indicates medium level. The value of f2 of human capital is 0.35 that has strong effect (Cohen, 1988). and will be possible as moderator of social capital and socio demographic to have impact on job opportunity. We can conclude that the increasing dimension of social capital in strengthen the capacity of human capital is empirically support.

Research Question 5.

The research question number 5, that social capital have positive impact to job opportunity is successfully answered. The statistical t test value of 4.351 is greater than 5% t.05 = 1.96, hence we can conclude that social capital has positive impact on job opportunity is support. We can conclude that the the positive change of social capital is strengthen and expanding job opportunity is empirically support.

The Research Question 6. 419



Research question number 6, that human capital have positive impact directly to job opportunity is successfully answered. The statistical t test value of 3.758 is greater than 5% for t.05 = 1.96, hence we do believe that that human capital has impact beneficial directly to expand job opportunity.

The research question number 7

Research question number 7, that job opportunity have positive impact to satisfaction well-being is successfully answered. The statistical t test value of 4.804 is greater than 5% for t.05 = 1.96, hence we can conclude that job opportunity construct has positive impact to increase satisfaction well-being. We can conclude that the expansion of job opportunity will be change positively of satisfaction well-being of the society and have to believe that the more greater chance of job opportunity will be increased satisfaction wellbeing of the society.

DISCUSSION AND FACT FINDING

A mediation role as important investigation that a mediator when it lies in the way of a causal chain between two other constructs. The mediator may have full mediation or partial mediation so that the independent variable has no statistically significant effect or a diminishing statistically significant effect on the dependent variable is possible to be an outcome. Test for mediation is performed to establish the full homological validity of the model and the test is done in stages (Baron & Kenny, 1986; Hair et al (2014), but can be done in Smarts in one run by doing a bootstrap and examine the total effects portion of the default report (Lowry & Gaskin, 2014); (Sestet et al (2014).

The next step is to check for the strength of mediation in the two relationships in order to convey its practical significance. We used VAF (Variance Accounted For) to evaluate the strength of indirect effect compared with total effect (Shroud& Bolger, 2002). Table 9 presented the calculation result of VAF formula.

| | Direct | Indirect | Total Effect | Р | VAF |
|----------|--------|----------|---------------------|--------|------|
| | Effect | Effect | | Values | |
| X1 -> X3 | 0.102 | 0.292 | 0.394 | 0.000 | 0.74 |
| X1 -> Y1 | -0.04 | 0.475 | 0.435 | 0.000 | 1.09 |
| X2 -> Y1 | 0.516 | 0.222 | 0.738 | 0.001 | 0.30 |

Table 9. Statistical Calculation of VAF

Our finding have found as presented in Table 9, as notes from the theory that VAF > 80% could be full mediation, the range between 20% and below 80% could be partial and less than 20% will be no mediation. Based on Table 9, we have found four mediated relation successfully answered as partial and full



mediation. Social capital successfully mediated social demographic to impact job opportunity and human capital successfully mediated social capital to impact job opportunity, both of them were captured as partial mediation by the VAF value reason below than 0.80. Otherwise, we have found the couple mediation of socio demographic mediated by social capital together with human capital to strengthen job opportunity as full mediation by the VAF value more than 0.80.

The next step was the mediation role of social capital together with human capital as the fact finding outcome and would be stand as contribution study of this research. As presented in Table 10, one couple mediation and two others have found to be significant with 5% significant test. According to this empirical statistical support, our finding confirm both of social capital and human capital were significant contribution study in this research. First, is the role of social capital and human capital as a couple mediations for encouraging job opportunity. Second, is the role of social capital mediated social demographic through human capital. Third, is the role of human capital mediated social capital throught job opportunity.

| Relations | Original Sample (O) | Sample Mean (M) | Standard Deviation (STDEV) | T Statistics O/STDEV | P Values |
|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| X1 -> X3 | 0.292 | 0.302 | 0.086 | 3.383 | 0.000 |
| X1 -> Y1 | 0.475 | 0.482 | 0.097 | 4.905 | 0.000 |
| X2 -> Y1 | 0.222 | 0.226 | 0.074 | 3.000 | 0.001 |

Table 10.Statistical report of Indirect Effect

This study investigated the contributions of social capital such as network, trust and norms that empirically supportas directly effect to job opportunity and also the form of social capital as intervening. Klyver and Terjesen (2007) and Gezinski (2011)confirm empirically evidence of social capital as mediator of demographic component through impact to economic benefit, and the same empirical research also have been found in Lin (1999).

This research have found the different focus study with Grootaert (1999) for the case of rural sector in Indonesia. Our research have found that norms is typically strong reflection of socialcapital dimension, otherwise Grootaert have found network ties bonding as significant dimension reflection for community in rural sector Indonesia. However, in the context of human capital, this research have the same direction with Cunningham (2004) that the strong social capital impact to decision making process of household that dominated by social structure rather than individual decision process. Otherwise, socio demographic characterized as the bahavior of that Bjarnason and Thorlindsson, (2006) states, that rural sector fully 421



demonstrated as people tends to have a dream as *bright lights effect* (Rye, 2006), moved to urban area to see more job opportunity after training they can get, and rural sector occupied with un-skilllabor.

However, this research have been noted that social capital and human capital have strong linked can be found in some studies (Glaeser, et.al., 2002; Florin, *et.al.*, 2003; Lester, *et.al.*, 2008). Spencer and Pahl (2006) states that social capital become more important study in the future because of it significant role in determinant society welfare. This research also notes that human capital dimension can be developed more priority of training rather than formal education. Society culture and income level have strong combined effect to place on training practice is more essential solution for expanding more job opportunity as differ from Abowd et al (1999); Hornstein et al (2011) where formal education is more important solution for finding jobs.

Contribution Study

This research is built on many previous research works, mostly Gezinski (2011), (Becker, 1964). Carrillo-Tudela (2012);Sousa-Poza & Sousa-Poza, 2000).Putnam (1995) Cambell et al (1976), Andrew & Robinson (1991) and Kim (2015) The primary contribution of this research is the role of social capital together with human capital as mediator of socio demographic in support satisfaction wellbeing. The research model adopts the positivist paradigm and takes into account the effects ofbehavioral social capital perceptions tohave contributed to economic value, intention, attitude, and perceived behavioral support to satisfaction wellbeing for rural community cases. The study also offers a new evidence that social capital attitude empirically support as mediation in strengthen human capital dimension for job opportunity expanding and generating income satisfaction wellbeing for rural community. Third, this study verifies and clarifies some results from previous studies, as covered in Glaeser, et.al., 2002; Florin, *et.al.*, 2003; Lester, *et.al.*, 2008). Spencer and Pahl (2006) that social capital become more important subject for future research.

CONCLUSIONS

The results have found that social capital took a significant role in rural sector. The social capital and human capital empirically could be integrated and empirically have strong impact to have a look more job 422



opportunity. Socio demographic fail to get direct effect to job opportunity, so that social capital and human capital almost figured as mediator latent variable in connecting social demographic as main sources to create job opportunity. We believe that better together community behavior should be more attention and make an effort to create some advanced innovation for strengthen social capital ties as the key solution for rural community solution to support the income generating community in rural sector.

Limitations And Future Extensions

This study's results are subject to some limitations that need to be considered., Firstly, this study is developed a small model integration of social capital and human capital as an instrument of satisfaction wellbeing, while the complexity of satisfaction wellbeing will be determined more than those of the constructs.

Finally, the inherent algorithm on which the PLS-SEM is based on three month time periods of primary data, hence this limitation of data survey should not guarantee to predict an establishment attitudes in the future. The future research should be plan to organize longitudinal data that will be need to ensure the

behavior change of community participation in determining the tourism destination quality.

Reference

Abowd, J.M, Kramarz, F., Margolis, D.N. (1999) "Minimum wages and employment in France and the United States," NBER Working Paper.

Alfonso Sousa-Poza, Andre´s A, Sousa-Pozab, (2000), Well-being at work: a cross-national analysis of the levels and determinants of job satisfaction. Journal of Socio-Economics 29 (2000) 517–538

Andrew, F.M and Robinson, J.P, 1992, Measures of Subjective well-being. In J.P. Robinson, P.R. Shaver, & L.S. Wrightsman (Eds.(, Measures of personality and social psychological attitudes. San Diego, CA. Academic Press.

Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology

Becker, Gary S. 1964. Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis with SpecialReference to Education. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Bjarnason T, Thorlindsson T, 2006, "Should I stay or should I go? Migration expectations among youth in Icelandic fishing and farming communities" Journal of Rural Studies 22 290-230

Brisson, D. (2009). Testing the relationship of formal bonding, informal bonding, and formal bridging social capital on key outcomes for families in low-income neighborhoods. *Journal of Sociology and Social Welfare*..



Campbell A, Converse P. and Rogers WL.(1976), The quality of American life: perceptions, evaluations and satisfaction. New York: Russell Sage.

Carlos Carrillo-Tudela2012, Job Search, Human Capital and Wage Inequality IZA Discussion Paper No. 6949 October 2012

Chin, W.W.(1998)."Thepartialleastsquaresapproachtostructural equation modeling.In: G.

A.Marcoulides(Ed.), Modern MethodsforBusiness Research. Mahwah, NJ:LawrenceErlbaumAssociates.

Chin, W. W., 2010, "How to Write Up and Report PLS Analyses," in Handbook of Partial LeastSquares: Concepts, Methods and Applications", V. E. Vinzi, W. W. Chin, J. Henseler, and H. Wang (eds.), New York: Springer.

Cohen, J."Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences, Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.1988

Coleman JS (1988) Social Capital in the Creation of Human Capital. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94: S95-S120

Cunningham, H. (2004), 'Nations Rebound? Crossing Borders in a Gated Globe', Identities: Global Studies in Culture and Power.

Diamantopoulos, A., Winklhofer, H.M. "Index construction with formative indicators: an alternative to scale development, Journal of Marketing Research. 2001

Edward L. Glaeser, David Laibson and Bruce Sacerdote (2002), An Economic Approach To Social Capital. The Economic Journal.

Florin J., Lubatkin, M and Schulze W., (2003), A Social Capital Model of High- Growth Ventures, Academy of Management Journal.

Fornell, C., & Larcker, D.F., (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. Journal of Marketing Research.

Gezinski, Lindsay Blair (2011), Mediating Impact of Social Capital and Human Capital on Employment Outcome among Single Women Who Use Welfare: A Structural Equation Model. DISSERTATION. the Graduate School of The Ohio State University

Grootaert, Christiaan.(1999), "Social Capital, Household Welfare And Poverty In Indonesia" .<u>http://www.worldbank.org/socialdevelopment</u>

Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M. and Sarstedt, M, (2011), "PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet", Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice", vol. 19, no. 2.

Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., and Mena, J. A.(2012), "An Assessment of the Use of Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling in Marketing Research," Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science.

Hair, Joseph F. Jr, Hult, G. Tomas M, Ringle, Christian, Sarstedt, Marko, 2016. A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling. Sage Publiction.

Henseler, J., Ringle, C.M. and Sarstedt, M. (2015), "A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling", Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, Vol. 43 No. 1.

Hornstein, Andreas, Per Krusell and Giovanni L. Violante. 2009. "Frictional Wage Dispersion in Search Models: A Quantitative Assessment." <u>http://www.econ.nyu.edu/user/violante/Workingpapers/frictional main september4.pdf</u>.

Jarvis, C. B., MacKenzie, S. B., and Podsakoff, P. M. 2003."A Critical Review of Construct Indicators and Measurement Model Misspecification in Marketing and Consumer Research," Journal of Consumer Research

Kim, K., Uysal, M., & Sirgy, M. J. (2012). How does tourism in a community impact the quality of life of community residents? Tourism Management.

Klyver, K., & Terjesen, S. (2007). Entrepreneurial network composition: An analysis across venture development stage and gender. Women in Management Review.

Lester, Monica, Sharad K. Maheshwari P, Michael McLain (2003). Family Firms and Negative Social Capital: A Property Rights Theory Approach. Institute of Behavioral and Applied Management.



Lévesque, M. (2005). *Social capital in action: Thematic policy studies*. Retrieved September 16, 2010, from <u>http://www.policyresearch</u>. gc.ca/doclib/SC_Thematic_E.pdf

Li, P. (2004). Social capital and economic outcomes for immigrants and ethnic minorities. *Journal of International Migration andIntegration*.

Lowry, P.B & Gaskin Jamses.2014 "Partial Least Squares (PLS) Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) for Building and Testing Behavioral Causal Theory: When to Choose It and How to Use It". Available on <u>www.SSRN.Com</u>

Nitzl, Christian, Roldán RJ, Gabriel A Cepeda, Gabriel A." Mediation Analysis in Partial Least Squares Path Modeling: Helping Researchers Discuss More Sophisticated Models". 2012. https://www.researchgate.net/publication.

Petter, Stacie and Detmar Straub, 2006, *Specifying Formative Constructs in Information System Research*. Computer Information System Georgia State University Atlanta, U.S.A.

Sarstedt, Marko, Ringle, Christian M., Smith, Donna, Reams, Russel, Hair, Joseph F. Jr. 2014. "Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM): A useful tool for family business researchers. Journal of Family Business Strategy. ReserachGate.

Schneider, B (1996), "School, Parent, and Community involvement:the Federal Government Invests in Social Capital. In K.M. Bornman.P.W. Cookson. A.R. Sadovnik, & JS. Spade(Eds.) Implementing Education reform. Sociological Perspectives on Education Policy. Norwood.

Shrout, Patrick E and Bolger, Neil, 2002, "Mediation in Experimental and Nonexperimental Studies: New Procedures and Recommendations" Psychological Methods.

Spencer, L. and Pahl, R. 2006: Rethinking friendship: Hidden solidarities today. Princeton and Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Putnam, R. D. (1995). Bowling alone: America's declining socialcapital. *Journal of Democracy*, 6(1), 65-78.

Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., and Straub, D. W. 2012. "Editor's Comments: A CriticalLook at the Use of PLS-SEM in MIS Quarterly," MIS Quarterly. 2012.

Ringle, C.M., Sarstedt, M., Straub, D.W., 2012. A critical look at the use of PLS-SEM in MIS quarterly, MIS Quarterly. 2012.

Rye J F, 2006, "Leaving the countryside an analysis of Rural-to-Urban Migration and Long-Term Capital Accumulation" Acta Sociologica.

Tenenhaus, M. (2008). 'Structural equation modelling for small samples. HEC Paris: Jouy-enJosas, Working paper, no. 885.

Wong, Ken Kwong-Kay Wong, 2013" Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) ". Techniques Using SmartPLS. Marketing Bulletin, 2013.

